# REVIEW



**Open Access** 

# Carbon source dependent promoters in yeasts

Katrin Weinhandl<sup>1</sup>, Margit Winkler<sup>1</sup>, Anton Glieder<sup>1</sup> and Andrea Camattari<sup>2\*</sup>

## Abstract

Budding yeasts are important expression hosts for the production of recombinant proteins.

The choice of the right promoter is a crucial point for efficient gene expression, as most regulations take place at the transcriptional level. A wide and constantly increasing range of inducible, derepressed and constitutive promoters have been applied for gene expression in yeasts in the past; their different behaviours were a reflection of the different needs of individual processes.

Within this review we summarize the majority of the large available set of carbon source dependent promoters for protein expression in yeasts, either induced or derepressed by the particular carbon source provided. We examined the most common derepressed promoters for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and other yeasts, and described carbon source inducible promoters and promoters induced by non-sugar carbon sources. A special focus is given to promoters that are activated as soon as glucose is depleted, since such promoters can be very effective and offer an uncomplicated and scalable cultivation procedure.

## Introduction

Recombinant protein production in yeast has represented, in the last thirty years, one of the most important tools of modern biotechnology. The possibility to express a high amount of a single protein, separated from its original context, allowed major leaps forward in the understanding of many cellular functions and enzymes. However, since every host has its specific genetic system, species-specific tools have been established for each individual host/vector combination. In particular, promoters drive the transcription of the gene of interest and therefore are key parts of efficient expression systems to produce recombinant proteins. Furthermore expression of enzyme cascades and whole heterologous or synthetic pathways fully relies on a tool box of promoters with different sequence and properties.

Typically, there are two major choices concerning transcription of a gene of interest: inducible or constitutive promoters. The decision for one of these alternatives depends on the specific requirements of a bioprocess and the properties of the target protein to be produced. Constitutive expression, performed by a range of very strong promoters like  $P_{GAP}$  (glycerinaldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) [1],  $P_{PGKI}$  (3-Phosphoglyceratekinase) [2] or  $P_{TEFI}$  (translation elongation factor) [3] from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is

\* Correspondence: andrea.camattari@tugraz.at

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Molecular Biotechnology, Technical University Graz, Graz, Austria Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

not always preferable, since recombinant proteins can have a toxic effect on their host organism at constantly high expression level.

Controllable gene expression can be achieved with inducible and derepressed promoters. Most of these inducible promoters are responsive to catabolite repression or react to other environmental conditions, such as stress, lack or accumulation of essential amino acids, ion concentrations inside the cell and others [4-6]. For practical applications, carbon source dependent promoters have the main advantage in the segregation of the host growth phase from the protein production phase, allowing maximizing growth before inducing a potentially burdening expression phase. Very recently, Da Silva & Srikrishnan have summarized important tools for controlled gene expression and metabolic engineering in *S. cerevisiae*, such as useful vectors, promoters and the procedure of chromosomal integration of recombinant genes [7].

In order to categorize a large amount of information, and due to its practical importance, in this review we describe the various promoters according to their basic behavior in relation to carbon sources. This includes the most essential regulatory elements and mechanisms of carbon source regulation as described by the main chapters of this review: glucose repression in yeast and promoters which are either induced by simple de-repression or induced by carbohydrates or other non sugar carbon sources.



© 2014 Weinhandl et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Wherever possible, special emphasis is given on the applicability of individual promoters in different hosts and application spectra for industrial protein synthesis. Figure 1 gives an overview of the particular target promoters described within this work and their localization in the yeast cell metabolism.

### Glucose repression in yeasts

Glucose is a favored carbon and energy source in yeast. Glucose repression and derepression essentially concern genes involved in oxidative metabolism and TCA (tricarboxylic acid) cycle, genes encoding for the metabolism of alternative carbon sources (e.g. sucrose, maltose, galactose), or genes for gluconeogenesis [8-10]. In presence of glucose, decrease in transcription or translation at the gene level or increase in protein degradation at the protein level are the most common mechanism to regulate the gene products involved [11].

In an early attempt to clarify carbon source dependence in *S. cerevisiae*, Gancedo has listed the elements of catabolite repression in yeast, focusing on regulatory elements on transcriptional level (Table 1), which was extended to additional proteins such as Oaf1 or Mig2 and Mig3.

The current understanding of the mechanism of glucose derepression suggests that first of all the presence of glucose has to be signaled to the related genes. This signal transduction is likely performed by hexose transporters (HXT-gene products, Rgt2, Snf3) and hexokinases (HXK gene products). In yeast cells, a fully functional hexose transport is essential to provide functional glucose repression events, since repression is prompted by uptake and metabolism of glucose [13]. This is consistent with the phenotype of a HXT deletion strain [14], and also with the observation that the AMP/ATP ratio reflects the glucose level inside the cell (a high AMP/ATP ratio leads to activation of Snf1 [9], a kinase directly involved in gene regulation by carbon sources). However, most likely the processed metabolite of monosaccharides in the cell-glucose-6-phosphate-is the main signal that activates glucose repression [15].

The event of glucose repression usually follows glucose level recognition, by repressors belonging to the Mig family comprising a group of  $C_2H_2$ -zinc-finger DNA-binding proteins. This family takes the name after Mig1, the most important repressor protein in this context, regulating the majority of glucose repressed genes (Figure 2).



Table 1 Promoter interacting elements of catabolite repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (as reviewed in [10], [11], [12])

Element	Designation	Function		
Activator (DNA- binding proteins)	Hap2/3/4/5 complex	Activates transcription of proteins for respiratory functions		
	Gal4	Activates transcription of proteins for galactose and melobiose metabolism		
	Mal63	Activates transcription of proteins for maltose utilization		
	Adr1, Cat8, Sip4	Activates transcription of proteins for ethanol, glycerol and lactate utilization, as well as for gluconeogenic proteins		
	Oaf1	Activates transcription of proteins for oleate utilization		
Repressor (DNA- binding proteins)	Mig1 (Mig2, Mig3)	Recruits Ssn6-Tup1 complex (repressor complex) in glucose repressed genes		
Intermediate elements	Snf1	Protein kinase (in complex with Snf4); derepression of glucose-repressed genes by phosphorylation of Mig1		
	Glc7	Protein phosphatase; dephosphorylation of Snf1		
Glucose signaling	Hxt-proteins	Hexose transporter		
	Snf3	Glucose transporter		
	Rgt2	Glucose transporter		
	Hxk-proteins	Hexokinase		
		Phosphorylation of glucose		

At high glucose level, Mig1 is transferred from the cytoplasm into the nucleus, where it binds a GC-rich recognition site in the promoter sequence (for consensus sequences see Table 2), and recruits a repressor complex consisting of Ssn6-Tup1 [17-19]. Using *SUC2* promoter as a reporter system, it has been observed that the binding of Mig1 leads to a conformational change of the chromatin structure, further reinforced by Tup1 interaction with histones H3 and H4. Consequently, transcription initiating factors (such as Sip4) have no access to their binding sites [20].

Many glucose repressed genes, for example hexose transporters (e.g. *MTH1*, *HXT4*, *HXK1*), are solely affected by Mig1-repression. However, two more Mig repressors (Mig2 and Mig3) are reported to be involved in glucose repression, by partly assisting Mig1 in a synergistic way (e.g. *ICL1*, *ICL2*, *GAL3*, *HXT2*, *MAL11*, *MAL31*, *MAL32*, *MAL33*, *MRK1*, *SUC2* are repressed by Mig1 and Mig2) or taking over complete repression events in some genes without the intervention of Mig1 activity (*SIR2* is repressed by Mig3). The involvement of a particular Mig repressor in gene expression is strongly correlated to glucose concentrations inside the cell, as has been observed for *HXT* genes [10].

Generally, *MIG1* from several yeast species are highly conserved, but there are some differences in regulation of homologous genes in different yeasts. One example is *GAL4* of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, which is regulated by Mig1 as described above, although *GAL4* homologue *LAC9* in *Kluyveromyces lactis* is triggered by a regulatory function of *KlGAL1* and has no Mig1 binding site [18].

As soon as glucose is depleted, the protein kinase Snf1 is activated, mediating the release of Mig1 and the repressor complex by phosphorylation. Subsequently, Mig1 is exported from the nucleus, the promoter is derepressed and the gene expression gets activated [8]. Again, in the *SUC2* expression model, the ATPase activity of the complex Swi/Snf triggers an ATP-dependent change of nucleosomal structure (chromatin remodeling) and facilitates the binding of transcription factors [20,23]. Consequently, activator proteins are binding to particular consensus sequences (Table 2) and initiate transcription [21,22,24].

### Promoters derepressed by carbon source depletion

The peculiarity of all these promoters (Table 3), all induced at low glucose levels, lays in the lack of a proper induction for their activity. Such a behavior, in fact, represents also a reason for interest in potential applications, as the expression of the protein of interest does not start during cell growth, when the carbon source is typically abundant, but only at the late exponential



Table 2 DNA-motifs for regulator protein binding innatural promoter sequences of carbon source dependentS. cerevisiae promoters

DNA-binding protein	Consensus sequence	Reference	
Mig1	SYGGGG	[11]	
Gal4	CGGASGACAGTCSTCCG	[11]	
Mal63	GAAAWTTTCGC	[11]	
Cat8	YCCNYTNRKCCG	[21]	
Sip4	TCCATTSRTCCGR	[21]	
Adr1	TTGGRG	[22]	
Oaf1	CGGN <sub>3</sub> TNAN <sub>9-12</sub> CCG	[22]	
Hap2	TNATTGGT	[22]	

phase, allowing *de facto* a regulated gene expression without external induction step. The advantage of these promoters is even more promising moving from batch cultivations to fed-batch processes: during the feeding phase, a strict control on growth rate (and, in turns, on carbon source concentration in the fermenter) can be easily achieved, hence having a tight control on recombinant protein production with relatively simple fermentation procedures.

These promoter regions attract the binding of special transcription factors (e.g. Adr1), but as long as the carbon source is available, the chromatin structure is organized in such a way that the promoter is inaccessible to the activator protein. In the case of glucose, when its concentrations decreases, dephosphorylation of DNA-binding domains (as well as acetylation of histones H3 and H4) occurs, leading to a conformational change of the DNA region. Subsequently, the promoter region is accessible and gene expression can be activated by the activator protein without any induction signal [38].

Recently, Thierfelder and colleagues presented a new set of plasmids for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, containing several glucose dependent promoters induced at a low level of glucose ( $P_{HXKI}$ ,  $P_{YGR243}$ ,  $P_{HXT4}$ ,  $P_{HXT7}$ ; [39]). In *Pichia pastoris*, a set of 6 novel glucose dependent promoters was described; promoters of hexose transporters, of a mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase and of some proteins with unknown function were represented in this list. Generally, all of them were also activated during glucose starvation [40].

## Hexose transporter genes in S. cerevisiae and other yeasts

Hexose transporters in *S. cerevisiae* are encoded by 17 *HXT* genes. Some of them are induced (e.g. *HXT1*), whereas others are repressed by high levels of glucose (e. g. *HXT2*, *HXT4*, *HXT7*) [41]. In this section we will focus on the glucose-repressed fraction of *HXT* genes, that includes all high-affinity glucose transporters. In addition, high-affinity hexose transporters from other

yeasts, that may have the potential of good promoter activity, will be discussed.

Hexose transporter proteins Hxt2, 4, 6 and 7 in S. cerevisiae are repressed by high glucose concentration, and induced when glucose concentration decreases below a certain level [39]. Two independent transcription repression mechanisms apply, mediated respectively by Mig repressor (high glucose level) or by Rgt1, a C<sub>6</sub>-zinc cluster (no glucose). Both proteins are responsible for recruiting the Ssn6-Tup1 complex [29]. While derepression upon Mig1 release is dependent by Snf1, Rgt1 dissociation requires Grr1-mediated phosphorylation, which is dependent from Mth1 and Std1 activities [42]. Interestingly, another regulatory complex, depending on pH and the corresponding altered calcineurin pathway, was hypothesized. This assumption is based on observations on HXT2 regulation: after shifting the media pH to 8, the expression of HXT2 reaches a plateau, while in *snf1* mutant strains the expression was not completely inhibited. It was suggested that HXT2 promoter might be a target for the transcription factor Crz1, which is active at high pH and activates the calcineurin pathway, a response to environmental stress in yeast. Also related to pH shift, although to a lesser extent, is the induction of HXT7 and other glucose dependent proteins like Hxk1, Tps1, and Ald4. Overall, the response to alkaline stress of genes involved in glucose utilization suggests an impairment of glucose metabolism, probably due to a disturbed electrochemical gradient and subsequent uptake of nutrient through the cell wall: a sudden increase of pH value is a signal for the activation of stress responsive enzymes (e.g. superoxide dismutase, SOD) in order to maintain an appropriate pH for a functioning electrochemical gradient [27].

Many hexose transporter genes are not well described yet. Greatrix and colleagues compared the expression levels of *HXT1-17. HXT13*, for example, showed similar induction characteristics as *HXT2* (*i.e.* induction at 0.2% w/v glucose). Furthermore, *HXT6*, closely related to *HXT7*, is induced at low glucose concentrations [43], but its expression is more dependent on the Mig2 repressor [10].

*HXT7* seems to bind glucose with the highest affinity among all glucose transporters, and this fact is associated to a strong induction at low glucose level. The *HXT7* promoter region turned out to be suitable for recombinant protein production in yeast and was compared to other yeast promoters ( $P_{TEF1}$ ,  $P_{ADH1}$ ,  $P_{TP11}$ ,  $P_{PGK1}$ ,  $P_{TDH3}$  and  $P_{PYK1}$ ) using lacZ as a reporter gene. Among them,  $P_{HXT7}$  was stated as the strongest promoter in continuous culture with limited glucose level [44]. Also in comparison with  $P_{ADH1}$  for *SUC2*- and *GFP*-expression, respectively,  $P_{HXT7}$  produced promising results [25].

A variant of  $P_{HXT7}$  ( $P_{HXT7-391}$ , 5' deletion [26]), showing strong constitutive expression, was applied for

Promoter	Protein function	Organism	Derepressed by: (strength)	Regulating sequence	DNA-binding target protein	Ref.
HXT7	High affinity hexose transporter	S. cerevisiae	Low glucose level (10-15x)	No information ava	ilable	[25] [26]
HXT2	High affinity hexose	S. cerevisiae	Low glucose level (10-15×)	-590 to -579	Rgt1	[27]
	transporter			-430 to -424		[28]
				-393 to -387		
				-504 to -494	Mig1	[27]
				-427 to -415		
				-291 to -218	UAS	[29]
				-226 to -218	Activator protein?	[29]
HXT4	High affinity hexose transporter	S. cerevisiae	Low glucose level	-645 to -639	Rgt1	[28]
HXT6	High affinity hexose transporter	S. cerevisiae	Low glucose level (10×)	No information available	Mig2	[10]
KHT2	High affinity hexose transporter	K. lactis	Low glucose level (2x)	No information available		[30]
HGT9, 10, 12, 17	High affinity hexose transporter	C. albicans	Low glucose level	No information available		[31]
SUC2	Invertase	S. cerevisiae	Sucrose low glucose level (200×)	-499 to -480	Mig1/2	[20]
				-442 to -425		
				-627 to -617	Sko1	
				-650 to -418	UAS	
				-133	RNA-Pol II	
ADH2	Alcohol dehyrogenase	S. cerevisiae	Low glucose level (100x)	-319 to -292	Cat8	[24]
				–291 to ??	Adr1	
JEN1	Lactate permease	S. cerevisiae	Low glucose level (10×), lactate	-651 to -632	Cat8	[21]
				-1321 to -1302		[32]
				-660 to -649	Mig1	[32]
				-1447 to -1436		
				-739 to -727	Abf1	[32]
MOX	Methanol oxidase	Н.	Low glucose level, glycerol	-245 to -112	Adr1	[33]
		polymorpha		-507 to -430	UAS	[34]
AOX delta 6	Alcohol oxidase	P. pastoris	Low glucose level, glycerol		deleted GCR1-site	[33]
GLK1	Glucokinase	S. cerevisiae	Low glucose level (6×), ethanol (25×)	-881 to -702	Gcr1	[35]
				-572 to -409	URS	
				-408 to -104	Msn2/4	
HXK1	Hexokinase	S. cerevisiae	Low glucose level (10×), ethanol	No information ava	ilable	[36]
ALG2	lsocitrate lyase	H. polymorpha	Low glucose level	No information ava	ilable	[37]

# Table 3 Yeast promoters derepressed by gradual glucose consumption (repressed by glucose), and respective known regulator elements and binding sites

overexpression of phosphoglucomutase 2 to improve anaerobic galactose metabolism [45].

 $P_{HXT2}$  was successfully used for the recombinant production of squalene synthase (*ERG9*), which plays an important role in synthesis of compounds for perfumes and pharmaceuticals [46]. As expected, the characterization of hexose transporters, and relative promoters, is poorly characterized in less conventional yeasts. Nevertheless, *KHT1* and *KHT2* from *K. lactis, GHT1-6* from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, or *HGT*-genes from *C. albicans* have been described [47,48].

*KHT1* and 2 represent a sort of genetic anomaly, as both are located in a polymorphic gene locus of *RAG1* [30], which encodes either a low (Kht1, Rag1) or a moderate affinity hexose transporter (Kht2). Therefore,  $P_{KHT2}$  is more interesting for application where a more sensitive glucose dependent promoter element is required. *KHT2* turned out to be, sequence-wise, a close relative of *HXT7* and is similarly regulated. It has to be considered that *KHT2* is only weakly repressed by high glucose level and about 2-fold induced at concentrations below 0.1% (w/v) [49]. To our knowledge, the *KHT2* promoter has not yet been applied for recombinant protein production so far.

The *GHT* genes from *S. pombe* not only encode glucose transporters (*GHT1*, 2 and 5) but also gluconate transporters (*GHT3* and 4). *GHT2* and 5 are not repressed by glucose, in contrast to *GHT1*, *GHT3* and 4. Nevertheless, *GHT5* is expected to be a high affinity glucose transporter, but so far no promoter studies about any of the *GHT* gene group of fission yeast is available [50].

Expression of another set of hexose transporters-the HGT genes-was studied in *Candida albicans*. In conjunction with derepressed genes (and promoters) HGT9, HGT10, HGT12 and HGT17 are most interesting for this review, since they are strongly induced at low glucose concentrations (0.2% w/v) [31].

Not surprisingly, also hexose transporters in the industrial workhorse *Pichia pastoris* attracted interest in the context of natural promoters and strain engineering aiming at methanol-free alcohol oxidase (*AOX1*)-promoter controlled expression. The only two known hexose transporters are *Pp*Hxt1 and *Pp*Hxt2. *Pp*Hxt1 is related to the *S. cerevisiae* HXT genes, is induced at high glucose concentrations and seems to play a minor role in *P. pastoris*. *Pp*Hxt2 is more species specific, has characteristics of a high-affinity glucose transporter, but is also responsible for main glucose transport during high glucose concentrations. Interestingly, a deletion of *Pp*HXT1 leads to a hexose mediated induction of  $P_{AOX1}$  [14], most probably due to the resulting low intracellular glucose concentration in such deletion variants.

Additionally, Prielhofer and colleagues described the use of several *Pichia* species' hexose transporters as new promoter targets with green fluorescent protein (GFP) as reporter and, therefore, provided a potential alternative to methanol induced promoters [40] or engineered synthetic promoters, which also do not need methanol for induction [33].

## SUC2 promoter

The *SUC2* gene of *S. cerevisiae* encodes an invertase (beta-fructofuranosidase) and is inducible by sucrose. As for other glucose repressed genes, also the promoter of *SUC2* enables expression to a high level without any external inducer. Similarly to HXT genes, derepression of

SUC2 promoter takes place when the level of glucose (or fructose as well) is decreasing below a certain level (0.1% w/v); SUC2 promoter, interestingly, gets repressed again when glucose concentration drops to zero. In cultivations with glycerol as only (non-repressing) carbon source, the expression of SUC2 was shown to be 8-fold lower than expression in media with low glucose concentration [51]. The regulation of the SUC2-promoter is subjected to Mig1 and Mig2 binding sites on one hand (repression at high glucose level, [52]) and to Rgt1 repressor on the other hand (repression at lack of glucose, basal SUC2 transcription). At low glucose concentrations, Mig1/2, as well as Rgt1, are phosphorylated by the Snf1/Snf4 complex and thus transcription of SUC2 is initiated [53]. Additionally, the promoter activity can be further enhanced by sucrose induction but this is not essential for good promoter activity [51].

 $P_{SUC2}$  is a very suitable promoter for heterologous protein expression in yeast, and processes have been optimized for several applications, also above laboratory scale. For example, significant results for  $\alpha$ -amylase expression by  $P_{SUC2}$  have been obtained using lactic acid as carbon source, a substrate supporting recombinant gene expression as well as cell growth by providing a fast way of energy production (lactate is converted to pyruvate and enters the TCA cycle). The advantage of an extended cell growth phase driven by a non repressing carbon source opened the possibility for the use of  $P_{SUC2}$ also in large scale applications [54].

In analogy, *inv1* from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* was subject of the development of a regulated expression system in *S. pombe*, since also the  $P_{inv1}$  is repressed by glucose (Scr1 mediated, which is another DNA binding protein recognizing GC-rich motifs within the promoter) and is further inducible by sucrose [55].

*In Kluyveromyces marxianus, INU1*, which is a closely related gene to *SUC2* and encodes an inulase enzyme, responsible for fructose hydrolyzation, also carries two putative Mig1-recognition sites [18]. The promoter is activated by addition of sucrose or inulin, the derepression is controlled in a similar way to *SUC2* [56]. P<sub>*INU1*</sub> was applied to several protein synthesis approaches in *K. marxianus* and *S. cerevisiae*, such as expression of inulase (inuE) or glucose oxidase (GOX) from *Aspergillus niger* [57,58].

### JEN1 promoter

*JEN1* encodes a transporter for carboxylic acids (e.g. lactate, pyruvate) in *S. cerevisiae. JEN1* expression is repressed by glucose and derepressed when glucose level falls below 0.3 % (w/v), reaching a peak of activity at 0.1 % (w/v) glucose. Additionally, a weak  $P_{JEN1}$  activation by lactic acid was observed, using GFP as reporter gene [59].

The regulation of  $P_{JENI}$  by the transcription factor Adr1 and the alternative carbon source responsive activator

Cat8 was confirmed [60]. Two Mig1 binding sites in the upstream sequence of *JEN1* were identified [32,61]. Subsequently, however, Andrade and colleagues published an alternative mechanism of regulation, proposing that Jen1 is post-transcriptionally regulated by mRNA degradation, rather than by Mig1 mediated repression [62].

*JEN1* promoter has been successfully applied to Flo1 expression, a protein involved in flocculation processes [59].

## ADH2 promoter

A very popular promoter, used in several yeasts, is the promoter of the alcohol dehydrogenase II gene from S. cerevisiae [63]. In contrast to the widely used constitutive yeast ADH1 promoter,  $P_{ADH2}$  is strongly repressed in presence of glucose, and derepressed as soon as the transcription factor Adr1 binds to the upstream activating sequence UAS1 of P<sub>ADH2</sub>. Adr1 is dephosphorylated when glucose is depleting, and the cell switches to growth on ethanol (Adr1 dephosphorylation appears to be Snf1-dependent). There is also a second glucose dependent UAS (namely UAS2), less characterized but likely activated by Cat8 in a synergistic way with Adr1, and thus identified as a CSRE sequence (carbon source responsive element) [24,64]. Furthermore, some other protein kinases, such as Sch9, Tpk1 and Ccr1, that also derepress PADH2, influence the expression level of ADH2. Interestingly, there is no typical Mig1binding site in the ADH2 promoter sequence; glucose repression is mainly mediated by the Glc7/Reg1 complex [11].

The potential of  $P_{ADH2}$  was evaluated and compared to the inducible *S. cerevisiae* promoters  $P_{CUP1}$  and  $P_{GAL1}$ and turned out to yield the highest level of expression after 48 hours [65].

*S. cerevisiae ADH2* promoter is not the only alcohol dehydrogenase promoter used in expression studies.  $P_{adh}$  from *S. pombe*, (adh shows high homology with *S. cerevisiae* Adh2 at the protein level) is a frequently used promoter in fission yeast, but is described as being constitutively expressed [66].

The related *ADH4* gene from *K. lactis* is characterized by a strong ethanol induction, and is therefore separately described in Section Induction by non-sugar carbon sources.

A *Pichia*-specific *ADH2* promoter was isolated from *Pichia stipitis* and is–in contrast to *ScADH2*–not glucose- but oxygen-dependent (induction at low  $O_2$  level). This *PsADH2* promoter was used in the heterologous host *Pichia pastoris* for the expression of *Vitreos-cilla* hemoglobin (VHb) [67].

## HXK1, GLK1 promoter

Hexokinase (HXK1) and Glucokinase (GLK1) in S. cerevisiae are involved in the first reaction of glycolysis, the phosphorylation of glucose, and are activated when the cell is entering a starvation phase or when switched to another carbon source [36]. Both enzymes are not expressed in presence of high glucose levels (subjected by a classical Mig1 repression; [10]), but become derepressed as soon as glucose is depleting. In case of *GLK1*, a 6-fold increase of expression level by derepression and further 25-fold induction by ethanol was reported [35]. *HXK1*, in comparison, is 10-fold repressed by glucose in dependence of Hxk2 protein [36] and was listed as one of Thierfelder's glucose dependent promoters with average strength, when it is induced at low glucose concentration [39].

 $P_{HXKI}$ , for instance, was successfully applied to the expression of a *GST*-cry11A fusion protein in *S. cerevisiae* [68] or, in more recent years, to the expression of bovine β-casein [69]. In case of  $P_{GLKI}$ , no application in terms of recombinant protein production was reported.

### Carbon source dependent inducible promoters

Other promoters are derepressed in absence of glucose and additionally need to be induced by an alternative carbon source to obtain full expression efficiency (Table 4). The inducer is either produced by the cell in course of time or has to be provided in the medium.

Galactose, maltose, sucrose, and some other fermentable carbon sources, as well as oleate, glycerol, acetate or ethanol, as non-fermentable carbon sources, can be considered as alternative inducers for regulated gene expression, since the genes that are involved in the particular metabolism are repressed, as long as the preferred carbon source glucose is available.

## Induction by carbohydrates Induction by galactose

The promoters of the *S. cerevisiae GAL* genes are the most typical and most characterized examples of galactose-inducible promoters. They are strongly regulated by *cis*-acting elements, depending on glucose level, whereupon galactose is acting as the main inducer [70].

Gal6 and Gal80 are negative regulators of Gal4, which is classified as the activator of the main proteins of galactose utilization pathway *GAL1* (galactokinase), *GAL7* ( $\alpha$ -D-galactose-1- phosphate uridyltransferase) and *GAL10* (uridine diphosphoglucose 4-epimerase) [89], as shown in Figure 3. Negative regulators for *GAL* genes have been shown to work in synergy with Mig1 [71]. Gal3 is expected to act as a signal transducer that forms a complex with galactose and Gal80, further releasing Gal4 inside the nucleus and activating *GAL1, 7 and 10* expression [90,91].

 $P_{GAL1}$  and  $P_{GAL10}$  are widely used in *S. cerevisiae* for recombinant protein production, for which different cultivation protocols have been developed. The crucial point is the maintenance of a low glucose level, which is important for efficient induction [92]. Since also galactose

Promoter	Protein function	Organism	Induced by (strength)	Repressed by	Regulating sequence	DNA-bindingtarget protein	Ref.
GAL1	Galactose	S. cerevisiae	Galactose (1000×)	Glucose	-390 to -255	Gal4	[70]
	metabolism				-201 to -187	Mig1	[71]
GAL7 Galactose metabolism	S. cerevisiae	Galactose (1000×)	Glucose	-264 to -161	Gal4	[70]	
	metabolism	K. lactis	Galactose		No information av	vailable	
GAL10	Galactose metabolism	S. cerevisiae	Galactose (1000×)	Glucose	-324 to -216	Gal4	[70]
	Galactose metabolism	C. maltosa	Galactose	Glucose	No information available		
PIS1	Phosphoinositol synthase	S. cerevisiae	Galactose, hypoxia (2x), zinc depletion (2x)	(glycerol)	-149 to -138	Rox1	[72]
	-)					Gcri	
					-224 to -205	Stell2 Pho2	
					-184 to -149	Mcm1 (2×)	
LAC4	Lactose	<i>K. lactis</i> ism	Lactose, galactose (100x)	-	-173, -235	RNA-Pol II	[73]
	metabolism				-437 to -420	Lac9	
					–673 to –656		
					-1088 to -1072		
MAL1	Maltase	H. polymorpha	Maltose sucrose	Glucose	No information av	vailable	[74]
MAL62	Maltase	S. cerevisiae	Maltose sucrose	Glucose	-759 to -743	Mal63	[75] [11]
AGT1	Alpha-glucoside transporter	Brewing strains S. cerevisiae, S. pastorianus	Maltose sucrose	Glucose	Divergent (strain dependent)	Mig1 Malx3	[76]
ICL1	lsocitrat lyase	P. pastoris	Ethanol (200×)	Glucose	No information av	vailable	[77]
		C. tropicalis	Ethanol	Glucose	No information available		[78]
		S. cerevisiae	Ethanol (200×)	Glucose	−397 to −388	Cat8, Sip4	[21] [79]
					-261 to -242	URS	[79]
					-96	RNA-Pol II	[77]
FBP1	Fructose-1,6-	S. cerevisiae	Glycerol, acetate, ethanol	Glucose	-248 to -231	Hap2/3/4 (2×)	[80]
	bisphosphatase		(10×)		No information available	Cat8, Sip4	[21]
PCK1	PEP carboxykinase	S. cerevisiae	Glycerol, acetate, ethanol (10×)	Glucose	-480 to -438	Cat8, Sip4	[21] [80]
	PEP carboxykinase	C. albicans	Succinate, casaminoacids	Glucose	-320 to -123	Hap2/3/4 (2×)	[80]
					-444 to -108	Mig1 (3×)	[80]
GUT1	Glycerol kinase	S. cerevisiae	Glycerol, acetate, ethanol,	Glucose	-221 to -189	Adr1	[81]
			oleate		-319 to -309	Ino2/4	[81]
CYC1	Cytochrome c	S. cerevisiae	O <sub>2</sub> (200×), lactate (5-10×)	Glucose	No information av	vailable	[82]
ADH4	Alcohol dehyrogenase	K. lactis	Ethanol	-	-953 to -741	UAS	[83]
AOX1, 2	Alcohol oxidase	P. pastoris	Methanol	Glucose	-414 to -171	Mxr1	[84] [85]
AUG1, 2	Alcohol oxidase	P. methanolica	Methanol	Glucose	No information av	vailable	[84]
DAS1	Dihydroxy- acetone- synthase	P. pastoris	Methanol	Glucose	−980 to −1	Mxr1	[84]
FDH	Formate dehydrogenase	H. polymorpha	Methanol	Glucose	No information av	vailable	[84]

# Table 4 Yeast promoters induced in dependence of carbon sources and their regulator elements

FLD1	Formaldehyde dehydrogenase	P. pastoris	Methanol, methylamine, choline	Glucose	No information ava	ailable	[84]
POX2	Peroxisomal protein	Y. lipolytica	Oleate	Glucose	No information ava	ailable	[86]
PEX8	Peroxisomal protein	P. pastoris	Oleate methanol (3-5x)	Glucose	-1000 to -1	Mxr1	[87] [88]
INU1	Inulase	K. marxianus	Fructose, Inulin, Sucrose	Glucose	-271 to -266	RNA-Pol II	[56]
					-163 to -153	Mig1	[58]

Table 4 Yeast promoters induced in dependence of carbon sources and their regulator elements (Continued)

concentration decreases during activation of the galactose utilizing pathway, the inducing effect diminishes over time. The high cost of galactose feeding demands a strategy to overcome this problem [93,94]. Several authors have generated Saccharomyces cerevisiae gal1 mutant strains that lack the ability to use galactose as a carbon source. Furthermore, MIG1 and HXK2 were disrupted to circumvent glucose repression [91,92,94]. Consequently,  $P_{GALIO}$  is induced even at low galactose concentrations, while presence of glucose does not affect promoter activity. In this case, the optimum concentration of galactose for induction was reported to be 0.05% (w/v) for expressing human serum albumin [92]. Interestingly, Ahn and colleagues found out that  $P_{GAL10}$  works under anaerobic conditions as well, and easily keeps up with other promoters ( $P_{PGK}$ ,  $P_{PDC}$  or  $P_{ADH1}$ ) for fermentative application. Therefore,  $P_{GAL10}$  is another strong promoter suitable, for example, for microaerobic or anaerobic processes like bioethanol production [95].

Other yeast genera than *Saccharomyces*, like *Kluyveromyces* or *Candida*, present homologous protein functions for galactose utilization. In *K. lactis*, Lac9 resembles the function of Gal4 and is blocked by *Kl*Gal80, which is very similar to Gal80 from *S. cerevisiae*. In contrast to *S. cerevisiae*, there is no Gal3 equivalent in *K. lactis*. Galactose metabolism is mediated by *Kl*Gal1, *Kl*Gal7 and *Kl*Gal10 [11] [96]. Besides, *Kl*Lac9 additionally activates *Kl*Lac4, a  $\beta$ -galactosidase, responsible for lactose-utilization (see also Section Induction by lactose). In contrast to *S. cerevisiae*, the regulatory genes of the *K. lactis GAL* expression are not strongly repressed by glucose.

Gonzalez and colleagues took advantage of the resembling *lac-gal*-regulon in *K. lactis* and applied the *S. cerevisiae* promoter  $P_{GALI}$  to express *Trigonopsis variabilis* D-aminoacid oxidase (*DAO1*) in *K. lactis* [97].

*GAL1* and *GAL10* promoters of *Candida maltosa* have been successfully isolated, with the intention to create a functional expression system in this species, and were tested with *K. lactis LAC4* as a reporter gene. Both promoters were applied to high level expression of several cytochrome P450s, encoded by the *ALK* gene cluster.  $P_{GAL1}$  and  $P_{GAL10}$  of *C. maltosa* were integrated into a low-copy and a high-copy plasmid, respectively, and COspectra were measured to prove the P450 expression. In the low-copy plasmid the authors obtained an expression level of 0.96–1.21 nmol/mg wet cell weight, whereas quite



notably the high-copy plasmid enabled a 3-fold amount of expressed protein [98]. Other important expression hosts, such as *P. pastoris*, lack a functional pathway and the respective promoters for galactose metabolism.

PIS1 (phosphatidylinositol-synthase, protein involved in the synthesis of phospholipids) presents an unusual behavior, since it is not subjected to conventional glucose repression in S. cerevisiae, but is known for increased transcription as soon as galactose is present in the medium. Interestingly, the presence of glycerol leads to a significant decrease of expression, while the expression level was not affected in glucose-containing medium. The regulatory mechanism is mainly mediated by Mcm1, a DNA-binding protein, which further interacts with another modulating protein, Sln1 [99]. Additionally, PIS1 is repressed at anaerobic conditions [72] and is responsive to zinc (increased PIS1 expression after zinc depletion was reported; [100]). Hence, PIS1 provides a range of possibilities for regulated gene expression with one single promoter.

There are no *PIS1* promoter applications reported yet, but Stadlmayr and colleagues described the *Pichia pastoris*  $P_{PISI}$  in a comparative *Pichia* promoter study, where the promoter activity was accounted for being rather low, when different carbon sources (glucose, glycerol and methanol) were tested [101,102].

### Induction by lactose

A distinctive feature of *K. lactis* is the ability to use lactose as a carbon source. Primarily the proteins of lactose and galactose metabolism are co-regulated by the *lac-gal*-regulon. A lactose permease (Lac12) is transporting lactose into the cell, where it is cleaved to glucose and galactose by a  $\beta$ -galactosidase (Lac4). Subsequently, the galactose metabolism is activated, involving genes (*Kl*Gal1, *Kl*Gal7 and *Kl*Gal10) corresponding to the *S. cerevisiae* counterparts described above [103].

The gene products of lactose and galactose metabolisms are controlled by an activator protein Lac9 (= KlGal4) and all of them are induced by lactose or galactose.

Interestingly, the lactose utilization genes are not repressed by glucose in *K. lactis*, while the galactose metabolism is weakly repressed [11,104]. The low catabolite repression, along with strong induction potential, is one of the advantages of many *K. lactis* promoters.

The promoter  $P_{LAC4}$  has been successfully used for recombinant protein production in *K. lactis* [105]. Significant applications consisted, for example, in the controlled expression of prochymosin, important in the context of cheese production [106], or in the expression of *Rhizopus oryzae*  $\alpha$ -amylase [107]. The consumption of the inducer is a problem in practical applications of  $P_{LAC4}$  also in this organism: *K. lactis* strains with disrupted *KlGAL1* were generated to prevent an early consumption of the inducer, following a similar strategy as the one showed for S. cere-

*visiae* [108,109]. An interesting side effect is also the occurrence of Pribnow box-like sequences in the native promoter, which enables  $P_{LAC4}$  to constitutively express heterologous proteins in *E. coli*. However, this feature is rather unwelcome for a typical protein expression process, since a prior correct assembling of the constructs in *E. coli* as an intermediate host can be problematic. With the goal to circumvent this inconvenience, a set of  $P_{LAC4}$ -variants, mutated in Pribnow box-like sequence, has been developed. Such a promoter modification allowed to successfully express bovine enterokinase, whose expression had been problematic before [110].

## Induction by maltose

Maltose utilization is a feature of several yeasts, among which S. cerevisiae, Hansenula polymorpha (the only methylotrophic species for which this phenotype was reported) and K. lactis. The MAL gene group is repressed by glucose and induced by maltose and sucrose. There are up to 5 unlinked MAL loci in yeast (MAL1, MAL2, MAL3, MAL4, MAL6), and each of them consists of a permease (MALx1), a maltase (MALx2) and an activator protein (MALx3) [74]. The promoter region for MALx1 and *MALx2* is a bidirectionally active intergenic region, consisting of an UAS, 2 symmetrically organized TATAboxes, 2 Mig1 binding sites and intermediate tandem repeats, which are assumed to regulate the expression level of MALx1 and MALx2. This bidirectional promoter was applied to the simultaneous expression of reporter genes MEL1 and lacZ [111]. Several authors highlighted the potential of MAL-promoters in expression vectors for regulated protein synthesis, by using maltose as an inducer [112]. For example, using  $P_{MAL62}$ from S. cerevisiae provided similar expression results as  $P_{GALI}$ , when LexA was expressed as a reporter gene. Notably, background expression driven by  $P_{MAL62}$  was definitely higher (compared to  $P_{GAL1}$ ) under nonrepressing and non-inducing conditions. Nevertheless, the expression of a very toxic protein, cyclin A from Drosophila was efficient for the maltose regulated protein synthesis by P<sub>MAL62</sub> compared to its constitutive expression with P<sub>ADH1</sub> [113].

*AGT1*, which encodes a  $\alpha$ -glucoside transporter, is highly homologous to the *S. cerevisiae MAL61*. P<sub>AGT1</sub> sequence from several beer yeast strains (*S. cerevisiae*, *S. pastorianus*), was recently analyzed. *AGT1* is repressed by glucose in a similar manner in all tested strains (it showed to be Mig1-dependent), while derepression and maltose induction strength are strain-dependent, probably due to a certain divergence in *AGT1* promoter sequences. The regulation of maltose induction is dependent by the *MAL* activator proteins, [76]. In *H. polymorpha*, the native  $P_{MALI}$  performed very well–also in comparison to the commonly used  $P_{MOX}$ , especially when the promoter was induced by sucrose. Furthermore, *H. polymorpha*  $P_{MALI}$  was transferable to another maltose utilizing yeast species–*S. cerevisiae* -, for recombinant expression of native maltase [75].

#### Induction by non-sugar carbon sources

#### Induction and derepression by ethanol, glycerol or acetate

Glycerol is a very relevant inducer of many promoters; interestingly, glycerol is often used to "derepress" a promoter, prior to actively induce transcription activation by another inducer, such as ethanol, methanol or acetate. Most of related genes are involved in gluconeogenesis. Below, the most important promoter sequences belonging to this group will be described.

One meaningful promoter in this category is the promoter of *ICL1*, which encodes for isocitrate lyase, a key enzyme of the TCA and glyoxylate cycle, enabling the cell to grow on non-fermentable carbon sources. It is repressed by glucose, derepressed by depletion of glucose and strongly induced by ethanol or acetate.  $P_{ICL1}$  is mainly regulated by the two  $C_6$ -zinc finger proteins Cat8 and Sip4 (see Table 1), which bind to a UAS as soon as glucose is depleted and ethanol or acetate are available [79].

*ICL1* promoter sequences from several yeasts such as *S. cerevisiae, P. pastoris, Yarrowia lipolytica* or *Candida tropicalis* are well established and frequently applied to protein expression [77,78,114]. In *K. lactis, ICL1* is assumed to be regulated without a Mig1 repressor, even if the derepression and induction are mediated by the Snf1/Snf4 complex [115]; it is still unclear if other repressor proteins are involved with URS regulation of *ICL1*.

In S. cerevisiae, the 5' upstream region of ICL from Candida tropicalis is often used as an inducible promoter; its optimum glucose concentration for derepression was measured at 0.5% (w/v), when *Rhizopus oryzae* lipase was expressed [116]. While expressing secreted  $\beta$ -galactosidase, the induction with acetate leads to a 300-fold enhancement of product activity. It needs to be mentioned that this level of expression is proteindependent (e.g. expression of lipase yielded only a fraction of the protein amount after induction compared to its expression under derepressed promoter condition [116]). Therefore, the volumetric activity of an expressed enzyme does not necessarily correlate with the strength of transcription. This might also be a reason why in Pichia pastoris the native P<sub>ICL1</sub> was praised as a good alternative for methanol free protein production [77], while on the other hand, according to a recent review, the transcription levels of this promoter in Pichia pastoris appear to be lower than with the classic  $P_{AOXI}$  or  $P_{GAP}$  [117].

The  $P_{ICL1}$  of *Y. lipolytica* is a standard promoter used for this host and was reported to be induced about 10-

fold by ethanol, when  $\beta$ -galactosidase was expressed [114]. Besides, it has been reported to be inducible by fatty acids and alkanes [118].

A special case is represented by ALG2 in *H. polymorpha*, which encodes another isocitrate lyase with 50–60% sequence homology to *ICL* of other yeasts. The promoter of ALG2 is activated by derepression at low glucose level (0.2% w/v) rather than by ethanol induction [37].

The promoter region of *FBP1*, encoding fructose-1, 6bisphosphatase, was analyzed in several occasions, concerning upstream regulating sequences [80,119].  $P_{FBP1}$  is repressed by sugars like glucose, shows a Mig1 binding site in the upstream sequence from -200 to -184 [11] and carries a Cat8 and Sip4 recognition site (UAS2) for activation of transcription when non-fermentable carbon sources (ethanol, acetate, glycerol) are available [21,22]. Additionally,  $P_{FBP1}$  was reported to have another regulatory sequence (UAS1), showing a different sensitivity to glucose than UAS2 [119], a genetic arrangement unique within this group of presented promoters.

Within the group of budding yeast, no practical applications of  $P_{FBP1}$  have been found: only the *fbp1+* promoter from fission yeast was mentioned several times as an opportunity for controlled gene expression in *S. pombe* [120]. However, this might also be explained by the fact that Fbp1 activity in glycolysis is also strongly regulated on the protein level, and not mainly by transcription.

The PEP carboxykinase (PCK1) promoter, which is inducible in absence of glucose by glycerol, ethanol, acetate or lactate as well, was already isolated from several yeasts, like S. cerevisiae [80] or C. albicans: in particular, the P<sub>CaPCK1</sub> gained popularity within Candida community. By means of the S. cerevisiae PCK1 promoter, Cat8 and Sip4 have been identified as responsible activator proteins for transcription as well [21]. It has however to be mentioned, that, at least in the case of CaPCK1 promoter, other inducers, such as casamino acids or succinate, have been proved to be more efficient regarding expression of LAC4 in C. albicans [121]. This observation was confirmed by an example, where the CaPCK1 promoter was applied to CaCse4-expression in C. albicans by succinate induction [122] and furthermore by CaCdc42-expression, which was driven by casamino acid induction [123].

Technically speaking, also the promoters of the gluconeogenetic proteins Acs1 (acetyl-CoA-synthase) or Mls1 (malate synthase) belong to this group, and have been characterized regarding their upstream regulatory sequences [124,125], but to the best of our knowledge they have not been applied for protein production yet.

The *S. cerevisiae* glycerol kinase (*GUT1*) is another example of a gene whose expression is mainly induced by glycerol, but also by ethanol, lactate, acetate or oleate. Complete depletion of glucose is necessary to derepress

the promoter. The regulation mechanism is subjected to Adr1 and Ino2/4 activation, and repression by Opi1 activity. Even if there might be a Mig1-binding site, this repressor seems to play a minor role [81]. The use of the *P. pastoris*  $P_{GUT1}$  promoter was proposed quite recently and was successfully applied to expression of  $\beta$ -lactamase as a model protein [126].

The *CYC1* (cytochrome c) gene product is an important element of the electron transport in *S. cerevisiae* and is repressed under anaerobic conditions and in presence of glucose. The intracellular heme level mediates the  $O_2$ -dependent activation of UAS1 element in the *CYC1* promoter region by binding of Hap1. UAS2 binds the Hap2/ 3/4/5 complex, and is activated by any non-fermentable carbon source [82]. Induction with  $O_2$  increases expression about 200-fold, whereas lactate-induction is not as effective (5–10 fold) [127].

In the respiratory yeast *K. lactis*, *CYC1* is expressed to a high level too, but glucose repression is also in this case almost irrelevant because the major part of expression is fulfilled by  $O_2$ -induction and UAS1 activation [128].

Cytochrome c is a highly conserved protein in several eukaryotes, and is therefore easy to transfer between different yeast species. In many S. cerevisiae vectors, the terminator of CYC1 gene is used for termination of transcription. Nonetheless, the promoter region of CYC1 is not particularly exploited, in any case often evaluated as hybrid promoter with GAL10 (UAS<sub>G</sub>-GAL10/CYC1). This construct consists of 365 bp of GAL10, including a UAS sequence, and the core promoter of CYC1 (TATA-Box, transcription start site and first four basepairs of CYC1 gene). Da Silva & Bailey have applied such hybrid promoter, among others, in order to determine the influence of different promoter strengths on fermentative protein expression in yeast, and as a result UAS<sub>G</sub>-GAL10/ CYC1 promoter showed moderate strength compared to P<sub>GAL1</sub>, when it was induced with galactose [129]. Nevertheless, one example of successful application of the hybrid promoter is the expression of HbsAg and preS2-S in S. cerevisiae for HBV vaccine preparation [130].

The use of the *K. lactis ADH4* promoter was patented by Falcone and colleagues [131]. It is located in the mitochondria, is not repressed by glucose but strongly induced by ethanol. The important control region for regulation of ethanol induction was found to be located between –953 and –741 [83].

For the sake of completeness, it has to be mentioned that also the *S. cerevisiae ADH2* promoter is induced by ethanol, but due to its efficient repression/derepression mechanism this promoter was described in Section Promoters derepressed by carbon source depletion. The same applies to the hexokinase genes *HXK1* and *GLK1*.

## Induction by methanol

This promoter type has been sufficiently reviewed in the past by several authors, and will therefore be mentioned only briefly. For further detailed information, we refer the reader to the corresponding literature (see below).

The use of methanol as an inducer is confined to methylotrophic yeasts, like Pichia pastoris, Pichia methanolica, Hansenula polymorpha or Candida boidinii, which are able to metabolize methanol as a carbon source [132]. The most established promoters comprise those from genes encoding alcohol oxidases (namely  $P_{AOXI}$  and  $_{-2}$  in *P. pas*toris,  $P_{AUG1}$  and  $_{-2}$  in *P. methanolica*,  $P_{MOX}$  in *H. polymor*pha, PAOD1 in C. boidinii), dihydroxyacetone synthases  $(P_{DASI} \text{ and } P_{DAS2} \text{ in } P. pastoris, P_{DAS} \text{ in } H. polymorpha,$ P<sub>DAS1</sub> in *C. boidinii*) and formate dehydrogenases (P<sub>FDH</sub> in H. polymorpha, P<sub>FDH1</sub> in C. boidinii). All of them are elements of the methanol utilization (MUT) pathway, and are repressed by glucose and strongly induced by addition of methanol (importantly, they are also derepressed by a non-fermentable carbon source, e.g. glycerol). Especially H. polymorpha  $P_{MOX}$  shows a significant derepression effect in presence of glycerol, since protein activity is already 80% of the methanol induced status. A special case in this context is the group of formaldehyde dehydrogenases  $(P_{FLD1} \text{ in } P. \text{ pastoris, } P_{FLD} \text{ in } P. \text{ methanolica, } P_{FLD} \text{ in } H.$ polymorpha), which are not only negatively regulated by glucose, but additionally are responsive to methylamine or choline induction [84,101,133].

At present, a set of engineered promoter variants based on these natural sequences of the MUT pathway genes have been developed. Such modified promoters (e.g.  $P_{MOX}$  in *H. polymorpha* and  $P_{AOXI}$  in *P. pastoris*) are no longer methanol inducible, showing in most cases either an inducible phenotype from molecules other than methanol, or a more pronounced derepressed phenotype [134,135].

In case of  $P_{FLD}$ , Resina and colleagues exploited an advantageous characteristic of the promoter ( $P_{FLD}$  is inducible by methylamine) thereby circumventing methanol induction [136].

*PEX8* is a peroxisomal protein (formerly *PER3*) in *P. pastoris*, whose promoter leads to a moderate expression level on glucose. A weak induction by methanol or oleate (3–5 fold) has been reported [87,118]. The main regulator protein in  $P_{PEX8}$  is Mxr1, which is characteristic for all methanol inducible genes in *Pichia* and binds the promoter in a 5'-CYCCNY-3' motif [88]. It remains to be demonstrated if multiple Mxr1 binding sites such as in the  $P_{DAS}$  and  $P_{AOX}$  promoters would increase  $P_{PEX8}$  strength.

 $P_{PEX8}$  was chosen for instance in the framework of Pex14 characterization, and was applied under methanoland oleate-inducing conditions, respectively [137].

#### Induction by oleate

Oaf1 and Pip2 are important DNA-binding proteins for the transcriptional activation of oleate responsive proteins in yeast. In many cases (e.g. *CTA1*; *peroxisomal catalase*, *POX1*; *peroxisomal acyl CoA oxidase*, *FOX3*; *3ketoacyl CoA thiolase*, *PEX1*; *peroxisomal biogenesis factor 1*) also Adr1 is involved in initiating gene transcription [138]. Most of these proteins are functionally connected to the peroxisomes and are mainly involved in  $\beta$ -oxidation. For example *POX1*, *FOX3* (= *POT1*), *ECI1* and *PEX11* are strongly induced by oleate and repressed by glucose, whereupon a significant derepression already occurs in presence of glycerol. Besides, *PEX5*, *CRC1*, *CTA1* and *QDR1* are also induced by oleate, although at a lower level [12].

In terms of industrial applications, no relevant oleate inducible promoters have been reported for *S. cerevisiae* so far. Up to now, especially, *POX2* and *POT1* promoters from *Y. lipolytica*, which are also activated by oleate, have been validated for recombinant protein synthesis of lipase in *Y. lipolytica* [138]. In the meantime  $P_{POX2}$  has been frequently used, especially, when hydrophobic substrate conditions were required. The performance of  $P_{POX2}$  was further improved, testing human interferone alpha 2b expression, by co-feeding glucose at a limited rate during induction with oleate [139].

#### Conclusions

This review describes the current state of art for a set of potential promoters for controlled protein synthesis, out of several yeasts. Especially in case of inducible promoters, the presented genetic tools are already well established, with several examples now summarized within this work. Nevertheless, also some less popular promoters show interesting features, which might be enhanced by promoter engineering: such a technique, despite its potential, is not yet very common for promoter improvements.

Generally, any gene subjected to derepression at low glucose concentrations, opens up the potential of carrying a strong promoter sequence. Referring to transcriptome analysis covering 31% of the genome [140,141], about 163 genes from *S. cerevisiae* were upregulated at glucose-limited conditions. Many of these genes are still poorly characterized, and their function is not known yet. For instance, *YGR243* promoter from *S. cerevisiae* was already introduced as an interesting promoter tool [39], where-upon  $P_{YGR243}$  could easily keep up with  $P_{HXKI}$ .

A comprehensive knowledge of promoter elements is also helpful in terms of the development of synthetic promoters, since this field of research is relatively new, but gained increased popularity within the last ten years. Sequences of strong natural promoters are combined, and transcription factor binding sites are deleted or amplified with the objective of obtaining a new, more convenient promoter sequence [142].

Very recently, Blazeck and colleagues presented a set of synthetic yeast promoters by assembling very strong transcriptional enhancing elements (coming from CLB2, CIT1, GAL1, respectively) with the core of a particular promoter. The essential finding was a direct proportion between the number of additional UAS and promoter activity [143]. Interestingly, most yeast promoter studies are still focused on endogenous promoters and rarely on heterologous applications or fully orthogonal systems.

A broad knowledge of different potentials of promoter elements paves the way for creating a comprehensive promoter tool box and facilitates protein synthesis for appropriate applications.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

KW and AC collected all the relevant publications, arranged the general structure of the review and drafted the text; AC, AG and MW revised and amended the general flow. KW produced tables and figures. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Federal Ministry of Economy, Family and Youth (BMWFJ), the Federal Ministry of Traffic, Innovation and Technology (bmvit), the Styrian Business Promotion Agency SFG, the Standortagentur Tirol and ZIT–Technology Agency of the City of Vienna through the COMET-Funding Program managed by the Austrian Research Promotion Agency FFG and European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 289646.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Austrian Centre of Industrial Biotechnology, Graz, Austria. <sup>2</sup>Institute of Molecular Biotechnology, Technical University Graz, Graz, Austria.

Received: 1 October 2013 Accepted: 16 December 2013 Published: 9 January 2014

#### References

- Waterham HR, Digan ME, Koutz PJ, Lair SV, Cregg JM: Isolation of the Pichia pastoris glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene and regulation and use of its promoter. *Gene* 1997, 186:37–44.
- Tuite M, Dobson MJ, Roberts NA, King RM, Burke DC, Kingsman SM, Kingsman AJ: Regulated high efficiency expression of human interferonalpha in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. EMBO J 1982, 1(5):603–608.
- Gatignol A, Dassain M, Tiraby G: Cloning of Saccharomyces cerevisiae promoters using a probe vector based on phleomycin resistance. Gene 1990, 91(1):35–41.
- Li N, Zhang LM, Zhang KQ, Deng JS, Prändl R, Schöffl F: Effects of heat stress on yeast heat shock factor-promoter binding in vivo. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin 2006, 38(5):356–362.
- Koller A, Valesco J, Subramani S: The CUP1 promoter of Saccharomyces cerevisiae is inducible by copper in Pichia pastoris. Yeast 2000, 16:651–656
- Solow SP, Sengbusch J, Laird MW: Heterologous protein production from the inducible MET25 promoter in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biotechnol Prog 2005, 21:617–620.
- Da Silva NA, Srikrishnan S: Introduction and expression of genes for metabolic engineering applications in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS Yeast Res 2012, 12:197–214.
- Carlson M: Glucose repression in yeast. Curr Opin Microbiol 1999, 2:202–207.
- 9. Wilson WA, Hawley SA, Hardie DG: Glucose repression/derepression in budding yeast: SNF1 protein kinase is activated by phosphorylation

under derepressing conditions, and this correlates with a high AMP: ATP ratio. Curr Biol 1996, 6(11):1426–1434.

- Westholm J, Nordberg N, Murén E, Ameur A, Komorowski J, Ronne H: Combinatorial control of gene expression by the three yeast repressors Mig1, Mig2 and Mig3. *BMC Genomics* 2008, 9:601–614.
- 11. Gancedo JM: Yeast carbon catabolite repression. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 1998, 62(2):334–361.
- Karpichev IV, Durand-Heredia JM, Luo Y, Small GM: Binding characteristics and regulatory mechanisms of the transcription factors controlling oleate-responsive genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Biol Chem 2008, 283(16):10264–10275.
- 13. Özcan S: Two different signals regulate expression and induction of gene expression by glucose. J Biol Chem 2002, 277(49):46993–46997.
- Zhang P, Zhang W, Zhou X, Bai P, Cregg JM, Zhang Y: Catabolite repression of Aox in *Pichia pastoris* is dependent on hexose transporter *PpHxt1* and pexophagy. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010, 76(18):6108–6118.
- Suppi S, Michelson T, Viigand K, Alamäe T: Repression vs. activation of MOX, FMD, MPP1 and MAL1 promoters by sugars in *Hansenula polymorpha*: the outcome depends on cell's ability to phosphorylate sugar. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2012, 13(2):219–232.
- Hedbacker K, Carlson M: SNF1/AMPK pathways in yeast. Front Biosci 2008, 13:2408–2420.
- 17. Treitel MA, Carlson M: Repression by SSN6-TUP1 is directed by MIG1, a repressor/activator protein. *Genetics* 1995, **92**:3132–3136.
- Cassart JP, Georis I, Ostling J, Ronne H, Vandenhaute J: The MIG1 repressor from *Kluyveromyces lactis*: cloning, sequencing and functional analysis in *Saccharomyes cerevisiae*. *FEBS Lett* 1995, 371:191–194.
- Erickson JR, Johnston M: Suppressors reveal two classes of glucose repression genes in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 1994, 136:1271–1278.
- Bu Y, Schmidt MC: Identification of cis-acting elements in the SUC2 promoter of Saccharomyces cerevisiae required for activation of transcription. Nucleic Acids Res 1998, 26(4):1002–1009.
- 21. Roth S, Kumme J, Schüller HJ: Transcriptional activators Cat8 and Sip4 discriminate between sequence variants of the carbon source-responsive promoter element in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Curr Genet* 2004, **45**(3):121–128.
- 22. Schüller HJ: Transcriptional control of nonfermentative metabolism in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr Genet 2003, 43:139–160.
- Fleming AB: Pennings pp. Tup1–Ssn6 and Swi-Snf remodelling activities influence long-range chromatin organization upstream of the yeast SUC2 gene. Nucleic Acids Res 2007, 35(16):5520–5531.
- 24. Walther K, Schüller HJ: Adr1 and Cat8 synergistically activate the glucose-regulated alcohol dehydrogenase gene ADH2 of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbiology* 2001, **147**:2037–2044.
- Lai MT, Liu DYT, Hseu TH: Cell growth restoration and high level protein expression by the promoter of hexose transporter, HXT7, from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biotechnol Lett 2007, 29(8):1287–1292.
- Hauf J, Zimmermann FK, Müller S: Simultaneous genomic overexpression of seven glycolytic enzymes in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Enzyme Microb Tech 2000, 26:688–698.
- Ruiz A, Serrano R, Arino J: Direct regulation of genes involved in glucose utilization by the calcium/calcineurin pathway. *J Biol Chem* 2008, 283(20):13923–13933.
- Kim JH: DNA-binding properties of the yeast Rgt1 repressor. Biochimie 2009, 91(2):300–303.
- Ozcan S, Johnston M: Two different repressors collaborate to restrict expression of the yeast glucose transporter genes HXT2 and HXT4 to low levels of glucose. *Mol Cell Biol* 1996, 16(10):5536–5545.
- Weirich J, Goffrinil P, Kuger P, Ferrero I, Breunig KD: Influence of mutations in hexose-transporter genes on glucose repression in *Kluyveromyces lactis. Eur J Biochem* 1997, 249:248–257.
- Fan J, Chaturvedi V, Shen SH: Identification and phylogenetic analysis of a glucose transporter gene family from the human pathogenic yeast *Candida albicans. J Mol Evol* 2002, 55(3):336–346.
- Bojunga N, Entian K: Cat8p, the activator of gluconeogenic genes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, regulates carbon source-dependent expression of NADP-dependent cytosolic isocitrate dehydrogenase (Idp2p) and lactate permease (Jen1p). Mol Gen Genet 1999, 262:869–875.
- 33. Hartner FS, Ruth C, Langenegger D, Johnson SN, Hyka P, Lin-Cereghino GP, Lin-Cereghino J, Kovar K, Cregg JM, Glieder A: **Promoter library designed**

for fine-tuned gene expression in *Pichia pastoris*. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2008, **36**(12):e76.

- Gödecke S, Eckart M, Janowicz ZA, Hollenberg CP: Identification of sequences responsible for transcriptional regulation of the strongly expressed methanol oxidase-encoding gene in *Hansenula polymorpha*. *Gene* 1994, 139:35–42.
- 35. Herrero P, Flores L, Cera T, Moreno F: Functional characterization of transcriptional regulatory elements in the upstream region of the yeast GLK1 gene. *Biochem J* 1999, **343:**319–325.
- Rodriguez A, Cera T, Herrero P, Moreno F: The hexokinase 2 protein regulates the expression of the GLK1, HXK1 and HXK2 genes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochem J* 2001, 355:625–631.
- Berardi E, Gambini A, Bellu AR: ALG2, the Hansenula polymorpha isocitrate lyase gene. Yeast 2003, 20(9):803–811.
- Infante JJ, Law GL, Wang IT, Chang HWE, Young ET: Activator-independent transcription of Snf1-dependent genes in mutants lacking histone tails. *Mol Microbiol* 2011, 80(2):407–422.
- Thierfelder S, Ostermann K, Göbel A, Rödel G: Vectors for glucosedependent protein expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl Biochem Biotech* 2011, 163(8):954–964.
- Prielhofer R, Maurer M, Klein J, Wenger J, Kiziak C, Gasser B, Mattanovich D: Induction without methanol: novel regulated promoters enable highlevel expression in *Pichia pastoris. Microb Cell Fact* 2013, 12(1):5.
- Diderich JA, Schepper M, van Hoek P, Luttik MA, van Dijken JP, Pronk JT, Klaassen P, Boelens HF, de Mattos MJ, van Dam K, et al: Glucose uptake kinetics and transcription of HXT genes in chemostat cultures of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Biol Chem 1999, 274(22):15350–15359.
- Flick KM, Spielewoy N, Kalashnikova TI, Guaderrama M, Zhu Q, Chang HC, Wittenberg C: Grr1-dependent inactivation of Mth1 mediates glucoseinduced dissociation of Rgt1 from HXT gene promoters. *Mol Biol Cell* 2003, 14:3230–3241.
- Greatrix BW, Vuuren HJJ: Expression of the HXT13, HXT15 and HXT17 genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and stabilization of the HXT1 gene transcript by sugar-induced osmotic stress. *Curr Genet* 2006, 49(4):205–217.
- Partow S, Siewers V, Bjørn S, Nielsen J, Maury J: Characterization of different promoters for designing a new expression vector in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 2010, 27(11):955–964.
- Sanchez RG, Hahn-Hagerdal B, Gorwa-Grauslund MF: PGM2 overexpression improves anaerobic galactose fermentation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microb Cell Fact 2010, 9:40–47.
- 46. Scalcinati G, Knuf C, Partow S, Chen Y, Maury J, Schalk M, Daviet L, Nielsen J, Siewers V: Dynamic control of gene expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* engineered for the production of plant sesquitepene αsantalene in a fed-batch mode. *Metab Eng* 2012, 14(2):91–103.
- Leandro MJ, Fonseca C, Goncalves P: Hexose and pentose transport in ascomycetous yeasts: an overview. FEMS Yeast Res 2009, 9(4):511–525.
- Lin Z, Li WH: Expansion of hexose transporter genes was associated with the evolution of aerobic fermentation in yeasts. *Mol Biol Evol* 2011, 28(1):131–142.
- Milkowski C, Krampe S, Weirich J, Hasse V, Boles E, Breunig KD: Feedback regulation of glucose transporter gene transcription in *Kluyveromyces lactis* by glucose uptake. *J Bacteriol* 2001, 183(18):5223–5229.
- Heiland S, Radovanovic N, Fer MH, Winderickx J, Lichtenberg AH: Multiple Hexose Transporters of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. J Bacteriol 2000, 182(8):2153–2162.
- Özcan S, Vallier LG, Flick JS, Carlson M, Johnston M: Expression of the SUC2 gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae is induced by low levels of glucose. *Yeast* 1997, 13:127–137.
- Lutfiyya LL, Johnston M: Two zinc-finger-containing repressors are responsible for glucose repression of SUC2 expression. Mol Cell Biol 1996, 16(9):4790–4797.
- Belinchon MM, Gancedo JM: Different signalling pathways mediate glucose induction of SUC2, HXT1 and pyruvate decarboxylase in yeast. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2007, 7(1):40–47.
- Zhang X, Xia Z, Zhao B, Cen P: Enhancement of production of cloned α-amylase by lactic acid feeding from recombinant Saccharomyces cerevisiae using a SUC2 promoter. Biotechnol Lett 2001, 23:259–262.
- Iacovoni JS, Russell P, Gaits F: A new inducible protein expression system in fission yeast based on the glucose-repressed inv1 promoter. *Gene* 1999, 232:53–58.

- Bergkamp RJ, Bootsman TC, Toschka HY, Mooren AT, Kox L, Verbakel JM, Geerse R, Planta RJ: Expression of an alpha-galactosidase gene under control of the homologous inulinase promoter in *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1993, 40:309–317.
- Kim HE, Qin R, Chae KS: Increased production of exoinulinase in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by expressing the Kluyveromyces marxianus INU1 gene under the control of the INU1 promoter. J Microbiol Biotechn 2005, 15(2):447–450.
- Rocha SN, Abrahão-Neto J, Cerdán ME, González-Siso MI, Gombert AK: Heterologous expression of glucose oxidase in the yeast *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *Microb Cell Fact* 2010, 9:4–15.
- Chambers P, Issaka A, Palecek SP: Saccharomyces cerevisiae JEN1 promoter activity is inversely related to concentration of repressing sugar. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004, 70(1):8–17.
- Tachibana C, Yoo JY, Tagne JB, Kacherovsky N, Lee TI, Young ET: Combined global localization analysis and transcriptome data identify genes that are directly coregulated by Adr1 and Cat8. *Mol Cell Biol* 2005, 25(6):2138–2146.
- Kaniak A, Xue Z, Macool D, Kim JH, Johnston M: Regulatory network connecting two glucose signal transduction pathways in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Eucaryotic Cell* 2004, 3(1):221–231.
- Andrade R, Kötter P, Entian KD, Casal M: Multiple transcripts regulate glucose-triggered mRNA decay of the lactate transporter JEN1 from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochem Bioph Res Co 2005, 332:254–262.
- 63. Badziong W, Habermann P, Möller J, Aretz W: Process for using the yeast ADH2 promoter system for the production of heterologous proteins in high yield (US5866371). US Patent Office 2012:1–16.
- Donoviel MS, Young ET: Isolation and identification of genes activating UAS2-dependent ADH2 expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 1996, 143:1137–1148.
- Shen MWY, Fang F, Sandmeyer S, Da Silva NA: Development and characterization of a vector set with regulated promoters for systematic metabolic engineering in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 2012, 29(12):495–503.
- Russell PR, Hall B: The primary structure of the alcohol dehydrogenase gene from the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *J Biol Chem* 1983, 258(1):143–149.
- Chien LJ, Lee CK: Expression of bacterial hemoglobin in the yeast, Pichia pastoris, with a low O2-induced promoter. *Biotechnol Lett* 2005, 27(19):1491–1497.
- Quintana-Castro R, Ramirez-Suero M, Moreno-Sanz F, Ramirez-Lepe M: Expression of the cry11A gene of *Bacillus thuringiensis* ssp. *israelensis* in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Can J Microbiol* 2005, 51:165–170.
- Jimenez-Flores R, Richardson T, Bisson LF: Expression of bovine & casein in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and characterization of the protein produced in vivo. J Agr Food Chem 1990, 38:1134–1141.
- 70. Johnston M: A model fungal gene regulatory mechanism: the GAL genes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbiol Rev* 1987, **51**(4):458–476.
- Nehlin JO, Carlberg M, Ronne H: Control of yeast GAL genes by MIG1 repressor: a transcriptional cascade in the glucose response. *EMBO J* 1991, 10(11):3373–3377.
- Gardocki ME, Lopes JM: Expression of the yeast PIS1 gene requires multiple regulatory elements including a Rox1p binding site. J Biol Chem 2003, 278(40):38646–38652.
- Leonardo JM, Bhairi SM, Dickson RC: Identification of upstream activator sequences that regulate induction of the 3-Galactosidase gene in *Kluyveromyces lactis*. *Mol Cell Biol* 1987, 7(12):4369–4376.
- 74. Vanoni M, Sollitti P, Goldenthal M, Marmur J: Structure and regulation of the multigene family controlling maltose fermentation in budding yeast. *Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol* 1989, **37**:281–322.
- Alamae T, Parn P, Viigand K, Karp H: Regulation of the maltase gene promoter in *H. polymorpha* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2003, 4(2):165–173.
- Vidgren V, Kankainen M, Londesborough J, Ruohonen L: Identification of regulatory elements in the AGTI promoter of ale and lager strains of brewer's yeast. *Yeast* 2011, 28:579–594.
- Menendez J, Valdes I, Cabrera N: The ICL1 gene of Pichia pastoris, transcriptional regulation and use of its promoter. Yeast 2003, 20(13):1097–1108.

- Umemura K, Atomi H, Kanai T, Teranishi Y, Ueda M, Tanaka A: A novel promoter, derived from the isocitrate lyase gene of *Candida tropicalis*, inducible with acetate in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1995, 43:489–492.
- Ordiz I, Herrero P, Rodicio R, Gancedo JM, Moreno F: A 27 kDa protein binds to a positive and a negative regulatory sequence in the promoter of the ICL1 gene from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochem J* 1998, 329:383–388.
- Mercado JJ, Gancedo JM: Regulatory regions in the yeast FRPI and PCKI genes. FEBS J 1992, 311(2):110–114.
- Grauslund M, Lopes JM, Ronnow B: Expression of GUT1, which encodes glycerol kinase in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, is controlled by the positive regulators Adr1p, Ino2p and Ino4p and the negative regulator Opi1p in a carbon source-dependent fashion. Nucleic Acids Res 1999, 27(22):4391–4398.
- Martens C, Krett B, Laybourn PJ: RNA polymerase II and TBP occupy the repressed CYC1 promoter. Mol Microbiol 2001, 40(4):1009–1019.
- Saliola M, Mazzoni C, Solimando N, Crisa A, Falcone C, Jung G, Fleer R: Use of the K/ADH4 promoter for ethanol-dependent production of recombinant human serum albumin in Kluyveromyces lactis. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999, 65(1):53–60.
- 84. Hartner FS, Glieder A: Regulation of methanol utilisation pathway genes in yeasts. *Microb Cell Fact* 2006, **5**(1):39–59.
- Xuan Y, Zhou X, Zhang W, Zhang X, Song Z, Zhang Y: An upstream activation sequence controls the expression of AOX1 gene in *Pichia pastoris*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2009, 9(8):1271–1282.
- Juretzek T, Wang HJ, Nicaud JM, Mauersberger S, Barth G: Comparison of promoters suitable for regulated overexpression of β-galactosidase in the alkane-utilizing yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Biotechnol Bioproc E 2000, 5:320–326.
- Liu H, Tan X, Russell KA, Veenhuis M, Cregg JM: PER3, a gene required for peroxisome biogenesis in *Pichia pastoris*, encodes a peroxisomal membrane protein involved in protein import. *J Biol Chem* 1995, 270(18):10940–10951.
- Kranthi BV, Vinod Kumar HR, Rangarajan PN: Identification of Mxr1pbinding sites in the promoters of genes encoding dihydroxyacetone synthase and peroxin 8 of the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris*. *Yeast* 2010, 27(9):705–711.
- Ostergaard S, Olsson L, Johnston M, Nielsen J: Increasing galactose consumption by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* through metabolic engineering of the GAL gene regulatory network. *Nat Biotechnol* 2000, 18:1283–1286.
- Li Y, Chen G, Liu W: Multiple metabolic signals influence GAL gene activiation by modulating the interaction of Gal80p with the transcriptional activator Gal4p. *Mol Microbiol* 2010, 78(2):414–428.
- Matsuyama T, Yamanishi M, Takahashi H: Improvement of galactose induction system in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Biosci Bioeng 2011, 111(2):175–177.
- Ah Kang H, Kyu Kang W, Go SM, Rezaee A, Hari Krishna S, Ki Rhee S, Kim JY: Characteristics of Saccharomyces cerevisiae gal1Δ and gal1Δhxk2Δ mutants expressing recombinant proteins from the GAL promoter. Biotechnol Bioeng 2005, 89(6):619–629.
- Whang J, Ahn J, Chun CS, Son YJ, Lee H, Choi ES: Efficient, galactosefree production of *Candida antarctica* lipase B by GAL10 promoter in Dgal80 mutant of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Process Biochem 2009, 44:1190–1192.
- Hovland P, Flick J, Johnston M, Sclafani RA: Galactose as a gratuitous inducer of GAL gene expression in yeasts growing on glucose. *Gene* 1989, 83:57–64.
- Ahn J, Hong J, Park M, Lee H, Lee E, Kim C, Lee J, Choi ES, Jung JK, Lee H: Phosphate-responsive promoter of a *Pichia pastoris* sodium phosphate symporter. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009, **75**(11):3528–3534.
- Salmeron JM, Johnston SA: Analysis of the Kluyveromyces lactis positive regulatory gene LAC9 reveals functional homology to, but sequence divergence from, the Saccharomyces cerevisiae GAL4 gene. Nucleic Acids Res 1986, 14(19):7767–7781.
- Gonzalez F, Montes J, Martin F, Lopez M, Ferminan E, Catalan J, Galan M, Dominguez A: Molecular cloning of TvDAO1, a gene encoding a D-amino acid oxidase from *Trigonopsis variabilis* and its expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Kluyveromyces lactis*. *Yeast* 1997, 13:1399–1408.

- Park SM, Ohkuma M, Masuda Y, Ohta A, Takagi M: Galactose-inducible expression systems in *Candida maltosa* using promoters of newly-isolated GAL1 and GAL10 genes. *Yeast* 1997, 13:21–29.
- Anderson MS, Lopes JM: Carbon source regulation of PIS1 gene expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae involves the MCM1 gene and the two-component regulatory gene, SLN1. J Biol Chem 1996, 271(43):26596–26601.
- Han SH, Han GS, Iwanyshyn WM, Carman GM: Regulation of the PIS1-encoded phosphatidylinositol synthase in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by zinc. J Biol Chem 2005, 280(32):29017–29024.
- 101. Stadlmayr G, Mecklenbräuker A, Rothmüller M, Maurer M, Sauer M, Mattanovich D, Gasser B: Identification and characterisation of novel *Pichia pastoris* promoters for heterologous protein production. *J Biotechnol* 2010, **150**(4):519–529.
- 102. Gasser B, Mattanovich D, Sauer M, Stadlmayr G: Expression system (US20100297738A1). US Patent Office 2012:1–86.
- Dickson R, Riley M: The lactose-galactose regulon of Kluyveromyces lactis. Biotechnology 1989, 13:19–40.
- 104. Dong J, Dickson RC: Glucose represses the lactose-galactose regulon in Kluyveromyces lactis through a SNF1 and MIG1- dependent pathway that modulates galactokinase (GAL1) gene expression. Nucleic Acids Res 1997, 25(18):3657–3664.
- Van Ooyen AJJ, Dekker P, Huang M, Olsthoorn MMA, Jacobs DI, Colussi PA, Taron CH: Heterologous protein production in the yeast *Kluyveromyces lactis. FEMS Yeast Res* 2006, 6(3):381–392.
- 106. van den Berg JA, van der Laken KJ, van Ooyen AJ, Renniers TC, Rietveld K, Schaap A, Brake AJ, Bishop RJ, Schultz K, Moyer D, et al: Kluvyveromyces as a host for heterologous gene expression: Expression and secretion of prochymosin. Biotechnology 1990, 8:135–139.
- Li S, Shen W, Chen X, Shi G, Wang Z: Secretory expression of *Rhizopus* oryzae alpha-amylase in *Kluyveromyces lactis*. Afr J Biotechnol 2011, 10(20):4190–4196.
- Hsieh HB, Da Silva NA: Development of a LAC4 promoter-based gratuitous induction system in *Kluyveromyces lactis*. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2000, 67(4):408–416.
- 109. Panuwatsuk W, Da Silva NA: Application of a gratuitous induction system in *Kluyveromyces lactis* for the expression of intracellular and secreted proteins during fed-batch culture. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2003, 81(6):712–718.
- Colussi PA, Taron CH: Kluyveromyces lactis LAC4 promoter variants that lack function in bacteria but retain full function in K. lactis. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005, 71(11):7092–7098.
- 111. Bell PJ, Bissinger PH, Evans RJ, Dawes IW: A two-reporter gene system for the analysis of bi-directional transcription from the divergent MAL6T-MAL6S promoter in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Curr Genet* 1995, 28:441–446.
- 112. Yao B, Marmur J, Sollitti P: Construction of glucose-repressible yeast expression vectors. *Gene* 1993, **137**:223–226.
- 113. Finley RL, Zhang H, Zhong J, Stanyon CA: Regulated expression of proteins in yeast using the MAL61–62 promoter and a mating scheme to increase dynamic range. *Gene* 2002, 285:49–57.
- 114. Juretzek T, Dall MTL, Mauersberger S, Gaillardin C, Barth G, Nicaud JM: Vectors for gene expression and amplification in the yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Yeast 2001, 18:97–113.
- 115. Lopez M, Redruello B, Valdes E, Moreno F, Heinisch JJ, Rodicio R: Isocitrate lyase of the yeast *Kluyveromyces lactis* is subject to glucose repression but not to catabolite inactivation. *Curr Genet* 2004, 44(6):305–316.
- 116. Matsumoto T, Takahashi S, Ueda M, Tanaka A, Fukuda H, Kondo A: Preparation of high activity yeast whole cell bioctalysts by optimization of intracellular production of recombinant *Rhizopus oryzae* lipase. *J Mol Catal B-Enzym.* 2002, **17:**143–149.
- 117. Potvin G, Ahmad A, Zhang Z: Bioprocess engineering aspects of heterologous protein production in *Pichia pastoris*: a review. *Biochem Eng J* 2012, 64:91–105.
- 118. Gellissen G, Kunze G, Gaillardin C, Cregg J, Berardi E, Veenhuis M, Vanderklei I: New yeast expression platforms based on methylotrophic Hansenula polymorpha and Pichia pastoris and on dimorphic Arxula adeninivorans and Yarrowia lipolytica–A comparison. FEMS Yeast Res 2005, 5(11):1079–1096.
- 119. Zaragoza O, Vincent O, Gancedo JM: Regulatory elements in the FBP1 promoter respond differently to glucose- dependent signals in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochem J 2001, 359:193–201.

- 120. Hirota K, Hoffman GS, Shibata T, Ohta K: Fission yeast Tup1-like repressors repress chromatin remodelling at the fbp1+ promoter and the ade6-M26 recombination hotspot. *Genetics* 2003, 165(2):505–515.
- 121. Leuker CE, Sonneborn A, Delbrück S, Ernst IF: Sequence and promoter regulation of the PCK1 gene encoding phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase of the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Gene* 1997, 192:235–240.
- 122. Sanyal K, Carbon J: The CENP-A homolog CaCse4p in the pathogenic yeast Candida albicans is a centromere protein essential for chromosome transmission. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002, 99(20):12969–12974.
- 123. Ushinsky SC, Harcus D, Ash J, Dignard D, Marcil A, Morchhauser J, Thomas DY, Whiteway M, Leberer E: CDC42 is required for polarized growth in human pathogen *Candida albicans. Eukaryot Cell* 2002, 1(1):95–104.
- 124. Kratzer S, Schüller HJ: Transcriptional control of the yeast acetyl-CoA synthetase gene, ACS1, by the positive regulators CAT8 and ADR1 and the pleiotropic repressor UME6. *Mol Microbiol* 1997, 26(4):631–641.
- 125. Caspary F, Hartig A, Schüller HJ: Constitutive and carbon source responsive promoter elements are involved in the regulated expression of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae malate synthase gene MLS1. Mol Gen Genet 1997, 255(6):619–627.
- 126. Cregg JM, Tolstorukov I: Novel P. pastoris promoters and the use thereof to direct expression of proteins in yeast preferably using a haploid mating strategy (US20080108108). US Patent Office 2008:1–28.
- 127. Guarente L, Mason T: Heme regulates transcription of the CYCI gene of S. *cerevisiae* via an upstream activation site. *Cell* 1983, **32**:1279–1286.
- 128. Ramil E, Freire-Picos MA, Cerdan ME: Characterization of promoter regions involved in high expression of KICYC1. Eur J Biochem 1998, 256:67–74.
- Da Silva NA, Bailey JE: Influence of plasmid origin and promoter strength in fermentations of recombinant yeast. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1991, 37:318–324.
- Hadiji-Abbes N, Borchani-Chabchoub I, Triki H, Ellouz R, Gargouri A, Mokdad-Gargouri R: Expression of HBsAg and preS2-S protein in different yeast based system: a comparative analysis. Protein Expres Purif 2009, 66(2):131–137.
- 131. Falcone C, Fleer R, Saliola M: Yeast promoter and its use (US5627046). US Patent Office 1997:1–28.
- 132. Stockmann C, Scheidle M, Klee D, Dittrich B, Merckelbach A, Hehmann G, Melmer G, Buchs J, Kang HA, Gellissen G: Process development in Hansenula polymorpha and Arxula adeninivorans, a re-assessment. Microb Cell Fact 2009, 8(1):22–31.
- 133. Yurimoto H, Komeda T, Lim CR, Nakagawa T, Kondo K, Kato N, Sakai Y: Regulation and evaluation of five methanol-inducible promoters in the methylotrophic yeast *Candida boidinii*. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2000, 1493:56–63.
- 134. Krasovska OS, Stasyk OG, Nahorny VO, Stasyk OV, Granovski N, Kordium VA, Vozianov OF, Sibirny AA: Glucose-induced production of recombinant proteins in *Hansenula polymorpha* mutants deficient in catabolite repression. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2006, 97(4):858–870.
- 135. Hartner F, Glieder A: Mutant AOX1 promoters (US2010/0196913A1). US Patent Office 2010:1–63.
- 136. Resina D, Cos O, Ferrer P, Valero F: Developing high cell density fed-batch cultivation strategies for heterologous protein production in *Pichia pastoris* using the nitrogen source-regulated FLD1 promoter. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2005, **91**(6):760–767.
- 137. Johnson MA, Snyder WB, Cereghino JL, Veenhuis M, Subramani S, Cregg JM: *Pichia pastoris* Pex14p, a phosphorylated peroxisomal membrane protein, is part of a PTS-receptor docking complex and interacts with many peroxins. *Yeast* 2001, 18:621–641.
- Gurvitz A, Rottensteiner H: The biochemistry of oleate induction: transcriptional upregulation and peroxisome proliferation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2006, 1763:1392–1402.
- 139. Gasmi N, Ayed A, Ammar BBH, Zrigui R, Nicaud JM, Kallel H: Development of a cultivation process for the enhancement of human interferon alpha 2b production in the oleaginous yeast, *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Microb Cell Fact* 2011, 10:90–100.
- 140. Boer VM, De Winde JH, Pronk JT, Piper MDW: The Genome-wide transcriptional responses of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* grown on glucose in aerobic

chemostat cultures limited for carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, or sulfur. J Biol Chem 2003, 278(5):3265–3274.

- 141. Tai SL, Boer VM, Daran-Lapujade P, Walsh MC, Winde JH, Daran JM, Pronk JT: **Two-dimensional transcriptome analysis in chemostat cultures:** combinatorial effects of oxygen availability and macronutrient limitation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Biol Chem* 2005, **280**(1):437–447.
- 142. Ruth C, Glieder A: Perspectives on synthetic promoters for biocatalysis and biotransformation. *ChemBioChem* 2010, 11(6):761–765.
- 143. Blazeck J, Garg R, Reed B, Alper HS: Controlling promoter strength and regulation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using synthetic hybrid promoters. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2012, 109(11):2884–2895.

#### doi:10.1186/1475-2859-13-5

Cite this article as: Weinhandl *et al*.: Carbon source dependent promoters in yeasts. *Microbial Cell Factories* 2014 13:5.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

BioMed Central

(

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit