# Interaction of Learning Management Systems and Gaming Platforms in the Context of Competence Based Learning

# Integrating the RAGE Analytics Environment into Moodle Courses

Matthias Then<sup>1</sup>, Iván Martínez-Ortiz<sup>2</sup>, Antonio Calvo-Morata<sup>2</sup>, Benjamin Wallenborn<sup>1</sup>, Baltasar Fernández-Manjón<sup>2</sup>, and Matthias Hemmje<sup>3</sup>

FernUniversität in Hagen <sup>1</sup>Zentrum für Medien und IT (ZMI) Universitätsstr. 21, 58097 Hagen, Germany <sup>3</sup>Lehrgebiet Multimedia und Internetanwendungen Universitätsstr. 1, 58097 Hagen, Germany {matthias.then;benjamin.wallenborn;matthias.hemmje}@fernuni-hagen.de

> <sup>2</sup>Universidad Complutense de Madrid Dept. Software Engineering and Artificial Intelligence, Prof. José Garcia Santesmases, 9, 28040, Madrid, Spain {imartinez;antcal01;balta}@fdi.ucm.es

Abstract. E-learning standards like *Learning Tools Interoperability (LTI)* can support software developers and course authors at realizing innovative learning scenarios in distributed architectures. Standardized interaction processes enable learning platforms like *Learning Management System (LMS)* to delegate functionality to LTI-compatible external tools in a flexible way. An LTI-connection between an LMS and a gaming platform offers possibilities like embedding a game or game asset into an online course and sending player-specific data tracked during gameplay back to the LMS. This allows for a new, more objective, and data-driven evaluation of students' individual learning performances, either for traditional grading processes or for alternative methods like *Qualifications Based Learning (QBL)* [3-5]. This paper outlines an approach which uses standardized interaction technologies for combining game-based and competence-based learning concepts.

**Keywords:** Competence Based Learning, Game Based Learning, Learning Tools Interoperability, Distributed Online Courses, Moodle, Moodle Extensions, Competency Based Education

# **1** Introduction and Motivation

IT-infrastructures at Higher Educational Institutions (HEIs) nowadays are required to offer the best possible conditions for seamlessly integrating innovative tools and technologies into online learning programs. A key component for organizing and executing courses is the *Learning Management System (LMS)* - in many cases an open-source software based on a plugin-architecture which offers an *Application Programming Interface (API)* for developing extensions without modifying the core code. However, this flexible way to introduce additional functionality is not intended for the replacement of well-established e-learning tools with LMS add-ons of lower quality. In many cases, a distributed solution for transparently embedding external tools or resources into LMS-sided online courses is a better choice. The *Learning Tools Interoperability (LTI)* standard [1] has been designed for that purpose and provides mechanisms for authorization, authentication, tool launch, and data exchange. LTI is supported by many modern LMSs; for example, *Moodle* [2] offers a generic plugin for specifying LTI-connections.

LTI allows for the implementation of innovative learning scenarios within onlinecourses because besides, e.g., new media types, miscellaneous software systems can be easily integrated into the learning process. This includes games and gaming platforms, so LTI can be used to introduce game-based learning approaches into learning environments that originally have not been specifically designed for this kind of education. An LTI-connection between an access-protected game or gaming platform and the LMS would enable an LMS-course to embed a game or game asset as a standard course resource which can be opened from within the course. After the connection is configured, the fact that the embedded object is hosted by an external system is hidden both from producers (teachers, trainers, etc.) and from consumers (students). However, during such an applied gameplay, user-specific data about progress, status, and history have to be collected by the game or gaming platform. Especially in the context of educational games, this tracking functionality is optimized for evaluating criteria like a player's learning performance and capabilities, so on LMS-side these data can also be applied, e.g., to support some grading or certification procedures. Alternatives to traditional grading processes are competence-based approaches like the Qualifications Based Learning (QBL) concept described in [3,4,5]<sup>1</sup>. In this case, the performance data tracked during gameplay would be used for assigning competences and updating personal competence profiles. The RAGE Analytics Environ*ment* (*RAE*) [6,10] is a gaming technology platform which provides appropriate tracking functionality and also supports LTI; at the moment, the developers are working on an improved solution for data exchange with LMSs. The RAE is a further development of the approaches and software systems described in [7-9].

In the remainder of this paper, we describe a way to combine game-based and competence-based learning concepts by connecting an LMS with a gaming platform and exchanging competence-relevant information. The prototypical implementation is built on top of the Moodle LMS and based on the RAE technology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Our former publications use the term Competence Based Learning (CBL).

# 2 Problem Statement and Research Objectives

In a conventional learning platform like a *Learning Management System (LMS)*, game-based learning concepts usually play a minor role because it is complex to integrate games into the learning flow. Nevertheless, to some extend it is possible to implement such approaches with maintainable effort when those software systems already support interaction standards like *Learning Tools Interoperability (LTI)* [1] and content standards like SCORM [11]. LTI plugins enable course authors to embed resources provided by external software systems. SCORM plugins can be used for deploying and executing uploaded games and accessing basic game-specific data (e.g. scores). A solution that depends on uploaded files and a specific runtime engine cannot be regarded as a seamless integration of external resources within a distributed architecture [3-5], so SCORM cannot be the method of choice. Embedding an externally (outside of the LMS) hosted game or game asset requires an LTI-based solution.

Nowadays, common learning platforms at least support basic LTI-functionality like authentication, tool launch inside the LMS (e.g. in an iframe), and receiving simple scores to be stored in the students' gradebooks. Regarding the integration of games and game assets, LTI's limited possibilities for data transfer between embedded tools and the LMS are a *problem*, because a wide variety of user-specific data tracked during gameplay sessions has to be evaluated on LMS-side. Therefore, we depend on a game technology that is able to transfer the required data. This already has been subject of former research projects [6-10]; in [7] a SCORM- and an LTI-based approach are compared. As LTI's outcomes functionality was limited to a simple score at this time, the authors preferred SCORM. Since then, additional features like integration of in-house developed web services and writing access to data structures on LMS-side have been introduced to the LTI standard. This offers new possibilities regarding data exchange, so the reasons for discarding the LTI-based solution actually have to be reviewed. Nowadays, LTI-based connections offering extensive interaction mechanisms between LMS and gaming platform seem to be realistic and feasible.

As described in [6-10], a configurable tracking functionality is a key element for supporting gaming platforms at collecting user-specific information about gameplay sessions in a targeted way. In the context of game-based learning, these data are used for evaluating a user's individual learning performance; our QBL-concept (Qualifications Based Learning) adopts this approach for assigning competences and other qualifications. The consequences for and specific requirements on user tracking have to be *researched* and an adequate solution has to be designed and implemented. This includes an LTI-compliant transfer of user-specific, competence-based gameplay data from the gaming platform back to the LMS. Another *research objective* is concerned with the analysis of these data on LMS-side. Solutions have to be compatible with the competence model [5] defined within our QBL-concept.

# **3** State of the Art

In the previous sections, some research projects and software systems related with our approach have already been mentioned. In the following, some of them are described in more detail.

#### 3.1 Qualifications Based Learning

Along with the Europe-wide university-reform called *Bologna Process (BP)* [12], the European countries made some agreements concerning the comparability and quality of higher-education study programs, modules, and courses. As a consequence, each course has to be provided with academic credit points according to the *Europe-an Credit Transfer System (ECTS)* [13]. Furthermore, the conveyed qualifications have to be stated and categorized in a determined way by assigning so-called *Compe-tences*. A binding accreditation process which every course provided by a European university or college has to pass ensures compliance of these criteria. The fact that every course has to provide a so-called *Learning Goal (LG)* description containing a summary of all conveyed competences, on first sight seems to enable students to integrate a greater flexibility into their curricula. Unfortunately, that expectation has not been fulfilled; the comparability of learning content has not increased in the degree originally aimed by the BP, because competences are usually described in form of free text and every faculty in every university uses its own formulations. This leaves too much space for interpretation and misunderstandings.

As a consequence, standardized qualifications catalogues have been developed; of special interest for the IT-sector and computer science in general are the *European e-Competence Framework (e-CF)* [14,15] and the underlying *European Qualifications Framework (EQF)* [16,17]. To increase the comparability of learning content based on competences, the QBL-approach described in [3-5] includes a domain class model for representing standardized qualifications (like competences, skills, and knowledge) and frameworks like the e-CF. Furthermore, this so-called *Qualifications Based Learning Model (QBLM)* [5] is designed to equip competences with *Proficiency Levels (PL)*. Competences and their PLs can be bundled to *Competence Profiles (CP)* which can be used as LGs in the meaning of the BP. In other words: the QBLM provides a way for modeling qualifications [3-5] use the term *Competence Based Learning (CBL)* instead of QBL. As the approach is designed to support qualifications into competences, skills and knowledge, the terms QBL and QBLM are more accurate.

Learning objects like units, activities, and knowledge resources can be represented with the *Personal Competence Domain Model (PCDM)* [18] which has been developed in the context of the *TENCompetence Project (TCP)* [19,20]. A special characteristic of the PCDM is its competence model, which later has been the extended by the QBLM. Regarding learning objects, goals, plans, and assessments, the PCDM is oriented towards *IMS Learning Design (IMS LD)* [21], a common specification developed at the *Open University of the Netherlands (OUNL)*.

The QBL-concept assumes that competence data have to be accessed by diverse software components of an educational institution's IT-infrastructure. Within this distributed architecture, the LMS is regarded as a central element for course organization and execution, so it has to support QBL and the QBLM in any case; an extension for the Moodle LMS is presented in [5]. As Moodle already provides basic support for competences (since version v3.1), our QBL-plugin is designed as an extension for this functionality and therefore includes a mapping between the QBLM and Moodle's competence structures. The QBL-plugin for Moodle is a work in progress and not yet fully implemented.

#### 3.2 Learning Tools Interoperability

LTI is a standard for tool interoperability that can be used for integrating externally hosted resources like forums, chat rooms, wikis, assignment tools, or games and gaming assets into learning platforms like LMS [1]. The embedding system, in our case the LMS, acts as a so-called *Tool Consumer*. The platform which provides the external resource is called a *Tool Provider*. The basic features of LTI are:

- *Single Sign On (SSO)* on the basis of a standardized authorization/authentication procedure;
- Launching external resources from within LMS-sided courses, units or activities;
- Returning a basic outcome like a score back to the LMS.

To secure access, LTI uses the standard **OAuth** (for more details about OAuth and security issues see [22,23]). In brief: consumer and provider exchange a so-called **Consumer Key** and a **Shared Secret**. Successful validation of a request means that the sender is identified as an authorized resource from the LMS, for example a course or activity. In case of success, the next step is to verify users and their roles - do they have the permission for the requested action in the context of the requested resource? If approved, users will be logged in, a user session is created, and the provider tool is launched inside of the requesting course (i.e. in an iframe or as a separate window).

With LTI-version v2.0 [1], the concept of configurable contracts between individual consumer- and provider-side resources has been introduced. Such a contract, called a *Tool Proxy*, among other things can be used for offering specific services on consumer-side. Regarding an integration-solution for a specific LMS, this offers interesting perspectives: providers can, e.g., be authorized to store data on LMS-side, access courses and check enrolments. However, such solutions somehow contradict the idea of standardization because they are limited to a single combination of software systems.

#### 3.3 Moodle as an LTI-Consumer

The plugin *External Tool* [24], also referred to as *LTI-Consumer Plugin*, enables Moodle users having admin- or teacher privileges to integrate protected resources from external applications into Moodle courses via LTI. The user does not need to have any deeper knowledge about LTI, but a basic understanding is advantageous. Within a Moodle course, an LTI connection is established by creating an activity-instance of type External Tool, which contains authorization parameters and essential information like the URI of the target resource - for example an assignment or a game. From a student's perspective, the LTI-connection works like a typical LMS-activity: a click on the corresponding link opens the resource.

The basic functionality for our approach (authorization/authentication, tool launch, and return of outcomes) is already implemented by the LTI-Consumer Plugin. Furthermore, the LMS Moodle is open-source, so it offers perfect conditions for using it as a starting point for our prototypical implementations aiming at merging competence-based and game-based learning approaches by using the LTI technology. Since Moodle-version v3.2, released in December 2016, the LTI-Consumer Plugin supports LTI v2.0.

Creating an LTI connection in Moodle is quite simple, as long as the targeted software system supports LTI; at [25], a list of certified tools is provided. Gaming platforms usually will not provide LTI support, unless they are designed to be used for game-based learning approaches and data exchange with learning platforms. An innovative approach for such concepts is the **RAGE Analytics Environment** (see 3.5).

### 3.4 FUH-WebAssign as an LTI-Provider

At the University of Hagen (German: *FernUniversität in Hagen, FUH*), several online tools have been developed during the last decades, which are individually tailored to the needs of faculty, staff, and students. Most of these initially used in-house software solutions are nowadays to some degree outdated and have already been replaced by now, but some of them are still in use today. For example, the assignment tool *FUH-WebAssign (WA)* [31] is widely accepted by faculty and staff because it supports some particular FUH-specific workflows. Many learning content producers worked with WA for years and spent a lot of time developing their assignment scenarios and teaching environments with it, so a mere replacement with Moodle's assignment tools has never been an option. As a consequence, WA has been kept as a legacy system and extended to support LTI [30,32]. Its new capability to act as an LTI tool provider enables course authors to embed WA-content (assignments, quizzes, tests) into Moodle courses by using the LTI-Consumer Plugin.

In Moodle, a so-called gradebook is used for recording students' individual course performance. All grades for course activities have to be registered there, including the scores achieved in external e-learning tools embedded via LTI. For transferring the required data to Moodle, WA uses the standard LTI outcomes service which is limited to a simple score and supported by Moodle's LTI-Consumer Plugin. A more extensive feedback is not necessary. As WA is not designed to support competence-based approaches directly, competences can only be attested in relation with these outcomes. For automating this process, configurable transformation rules are required on Moodle-side.

#### 3.5 RAGE Analytics Environment

The **RAGE Project** [26] aims at supporting the game industry at developing serious and educational games easier, faster, and more cost-efficiently. The main objective is to develop an eco-system of self-contained gaming assets (well-documented software components) that can easily be used and included by serious games.

The *RAGE Analytics Environment (RAE)* [6,10], a platform which collects, analyzes and displays learning analytics data from games, uses a flexible authentication and authorization module for enabling other RAGE server-side assets to access RAE-resources by using standard interaction technologies like LTI or SAML [27]. As RAE supports such standards, it is possible to embed RAE-assets into online courses provided by learning platforms like the Moodle LMS; for a description of Moodle's capabilities regarding LTI see section 3.3. In terms of LTI, the RAE takes the role of the tool provider and manages the access to the analytics tools, which are intended to be embedded on LMS-side. Launching the actual game from within the LMS is not implemented by the RAE at the moment, but it is considered for future development.

The RAE has been developed at the *Complutense University of Madrid*, *Department of Software Engineering and Artificial Intelligence*, and is based on former research and software projects concerning game-based learning (including the eAdventure game authoring platform). In [7], the authors researched how to use e-learning standards to integrate games into LMS, in particular, they proposed three integration models. One of them uses LTI to facilitate the integration of a gaming platform and an LMS; another one uses SCORM. A concept for designing educational games with a view to LMS-integration and IMS LD is presented in [8]. A prototypical implementation based on the gaming platform eAdventure is described in [9].

With regard to our QBL-approach, the concept for tracking user-specific data during gameplay and exchanging them with the learning platform is of special interest. The RAE, more precisely the underlying concept for educational game design, assumes that user-tracking is already considered during game design. The tracked data then have to be returned to the LMS by using a suitable interaction mechanism. Currently, a feature for this purpose is under development: a mechanism will be provided, which allows the registration of an endpoint (webhook) that will send a notification when a new gameplay session is created and ready to process. This notification will include several *Elasticsearch* [28] indexes, which can be used to identify the data logged during gameplay, and the indexes belonging to evaluation data produced by the RAE analytics tools. This functionality can be used for continuous monitoring of a gameplay and establishing a data stream from RAE to the LMS, more precisely: to an LMS-extension for processing these data.

# 4 Conceptual Design

Bidirectional communication between LMS and gaming platform can be implemented by using common e-learning standards like LTI [1] and SCORM [11]. On first sight, LTI seems to be the appropriate technology for integrating a game or game asset into an online course, because it assumes that the involved resources are hosted in separate systems. However, there are alternative solutions which, depending on type and extent of data exchange, might be a better choice. In [7], three models for integrating games into LMSs are described, one of them proposes the use of LTI, another one is based on SCORM. In 2013, when the paper was published, the LTIspecification has been limited to basic functionality like SSO and returning a simple score, thus the authors preferred the SCORM-based solution which allows larger scaled data exchange.

Since LTI-version v2.0 [1], which has been released in 2014, so-called *Tool Proxies* (TPs, see section 3.2) are part of the LTI-specification. They can be interpreted as configurable contracts between consumer and provider, which can include URIs of consumer-sided web services. In our use case scenario, this would enable the gaming platform to send user-specific performance data back to the LMS. A disadvantage of this approach is its limitation to a single combination of software systems, which is a contradiction to the idea of standardization.

As mentioned at the end of section 3.5, it is planned to extend the RAE with a mechanism that notifies the consumer about recently started gameplay sessions. The notification includes metadata which later can be used by the LMS for accessing RAE-sided information about a user's performance during gameplay. This approach might be combined with an LTI TP: a service for receiving and processing those notifications would have to be developed and declared as a so-called offered service on LMS-side. Furthermore, an LMS-sided feature for retrieving performance information from RAE based on the data within the notification has to be developed.

Different learning scenarios require different integration strategies. In many cases, it is sufficient to return a simple score back to the LMS, but complex game-based or competence-based learning concepts require detailed information about a student's actions during a gameplay. The following sections describe two typical use cases.

### 4.1 Basic Use Case: Simple Integration of a Game into an LMS

A browser game or a game asset which is hosted by a gaming platform is embedded into an online course provided by an LMS. The transfer of user-specific gaming data is limited to a simple outcome like a score. In this case, on LMS-side LTIfunctionality like Moodle's LTI-Consumer Plugin (see section 3.3) can be used for authorization, authentication and tool launch. If not already provided by the LMS, only a web service for storing the final score in the student's gradebook has to be implemented. Competences can only be assigned in relation with this score; for automating this process, rules should have to be defined and implemented. Ideally, configurable parameters like a maximum number of attempts and conditions for overwriting an existing score should also be provided. Regarding competences and QBL, the LTI-integration of the legacy software FUH-WebAssign described in section 3.4 is a sample scenario for the basic use case.

### 4.2 Extensive Data Exchange between LMS and Gaming Platform

To take advantage of the data that have been tracked during students' gameplay a more adaptable integration of the game is needed which implies an extensive data exchange between the LMS and the gaming platform. Ideally, the gaming platform enables game designers and authorized users like course authors or teachers to decide themselves, what has to be logged. This can be achieved by providing appropriate APIs and configuration dialogues. The RAE, which is based on the gaming platform e-Adventure [7-9], integrates the configuration of player-tracking into the process of game design and thus provides excellent conditions for generating useful information about a player's performance.

By making player-tracking configurable, the gaming platform indirectly supports competences and QBL: it just has to be specified, in which situations competences have to be attested. Ideally, standardized qualifications from well-established competence frameworks are used because they have a unique identifier. The gaming platform does not have to support competences and competence frameworks; its responsibility ends after transferring the tracked data back to the LMS. On LMS-side, user-specific performance data have to be mapped to competence structures. This requires an LMS-extension for QBL-support; the Moodle plugin conceptualized in [3-5] could be extended for that purpose.

A sample workflow for connecting an LMS with RAEs:

- 1. Instructional design of the lesson plan, including activities that put into practice one or several competences. Each competence must have a unique identifier.
- 2. The lesson's instructional design and the specific learning goals for the game will be the input for the game design phase, during which a game design document and an instructional design document are produced. The former focusses primarily on gaming aspects and tasks like picking the right game mechanics to address the desired goals. The latter includes, among other things (context, learning scenario, environment, etc.), specifications about how to assess players' skills and attest competences inside the game. These documents are the input for the game development phase.
- 3. As result of a gameplay, some metrics and insights into the players' attempts for mastering the given challenges are generated with RAGE Analytics.
- 4. Furthermore, the LMS is notified about the students' success at achieving the assessed competences by using a suitable API. A data structure like a sequence of tuples, each tuple providing a competence-id and a rating, would be sufficient. As the LTI outcomes service is limited to a simple score, an LTI-based solution would require a Tool Proxy which specifies LMS-sided services.

5. On LMS-side, the transferred data have to be mapped to competence structures; this requires a suitable QBL-extension.

# **5** Implementation Plan

The software-technical realization of the described concept is regarded as a new challenge for our QBL project [3,4,5,30] which is divided into three expansion stages.

**QBL in EP (Stage 1)**: the foundation for a first prototypical implementation is the **Knowledge Mangement Ecosystem Portal (KM-EP)**, a **Typo3**-based [35] learning platform originally developed by the software company **GLOBIT** [37] and further improved by the **Research Institute for Telecommunication and Cooperation (FTK)** [36] in the Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Action RAGE [26]. For a more detailed introduction and discussion of the KM-EP see [3,4]. Functionality for QBLM-conforming, competence-based authoring of courses, modules and study programs is implemented as a KM-EP extension called **KM-EP CAT**. Furthermore, tools for managing and organizing competences and competence frameworks have been developed. For executing courses created with the KM-EP CAT, an KM-EP-internal Moodle installation (**KM-EP-Moodle**) is used that is optimized for the specific needs of the KM-EP. The KM-EP-Moodle itself does not support QBL; it is just used as a runtime engine for courses. The implementation and evaluation of stage 1 is widely completed and will soon be published.

**QBL in Moodle (Stage 2)**: at FUH, the LMS Moodle is a well-established software component for course creation and execution. Since release 3.1 (Jul. 2016), Moodle offers basic support for competences and competence-based approaches by default [29]. As QBL comprises advanced data structures and functionality going beyond the standard features in Moodle [5], appropriate extensions are implemented. Furthermore, the distributed IT-infrastructure at FUH requires import- and export-processes for QBL-based courses and learning resources. Besides different types of competence-related information, LTI-based interactions with external systems are considered, even though expansion stage 2 is limited on the basic use case in section 4.1 and scenarios like the FUH-WebAssign-integration described in section 3.4. The prototypical implementation of a mapping between the QBLM and Moodle's standard competence structures is not yet completed; the development of mechanisms for specifying relations between LTI-scores and competences is in progress.

**QBL in Games (stage 3)**: user tracking during gameplay sessions offers possibilities far beyond returning simple scores, so the second use case (section 4.2) has much more relevance for our combination of game-based and competence-based approaches. Consequently, expansion stage 3 is concerned with functionality allowing for extensive data exchange between LMS and gaming platform, which requires LTI-v2.0features like Tool Proxies and specific web services on Moodle-side. The LTI-Consumer-Plugin described in section 3.3 enables authorized users to embed external resources into courses. Although LTI v2.0 is supported by the current Moodle version (v3.2), extensions still have to be implemented, because web services for receiving data from the RAE are required as well as functionality for interpreting these data and mapping them to (QBLM-compliant) competence structures. The game assets to be integrated are provided by the RAE; Single Sign On and tool launch via LTI are already supported. A capable notification mechanism for realizing extensive data exchange is in progress, for details see sections 3.5 and 4.2. As stated above, our QBLM-extension for Moodle [5] is not yet fully implemented; the postponement of the tasks described within this paper can be avoided by an interim solution that uses Moodle's basic competence functionality [29]. This temporary solution will later be adapted for QBL-conforming competences in a way that does not cause any additional effort on RAE side. Success and acceptance of the game-based approach strongly depend on the quality of the applied game, so a considerable effort has to be spent not only on software development, but also for game design and development.

Each expansion stage is regarded as a separate software project that roughly follows the OpenUP (OUP) [33], a process model that combines agile techniques and essential characteristics of the Rational Unified Process (RUP) [34] like iterative development, use cases, scenario-driven development, architecture-centric approach and risk management.

### 6 Evaluation Plan

The development process for each expansion stage is continuously attended by standardized quality assurance measures. Furthermore, a two-step evaluation process is scheduled, starting with an initial qualitative analysis in form of cognitive walkthroughs. Participants with complementary expertise will be consulted; in the case of expansion stage 3 (QBL in games), expert knowledge is required in the domains of Moodle, RAE, educational games, and course authoring. Technical criteria like functional capability, performance, and stability have to be evaluated both on Moodle- and on RAE side. Furthermore, attraction and applicability of our approach have to be assessed by course authors, teachers and game developers. Depending on the results of the cognitive walkthroughs, either an additional development iteration is initiated or the next evaluation step, a pilot trial with "real students". This trial will produce information about criteria like attraction for and acceptance by the students, usefulness for competence assessments, and the effort/benefit ratio.

# 7 Summary and Discussion

In this paper, we have described an approach for combining the concepts of gamebased and competence-based learning. The key component is a bidirectional connection between LMS and gaming platform. A special property of this connection is that the interaction is implemented according to the e-learning standard LTI. This means that resources like games and game assets can be transparently embedded into the LMS, an SSO-technology is used for authentication, and the gaming platform can send data about users' gameplay back to the LMS. Based on the tracked data, competences can be assigned to students. The approach presented in this paper is regarded as an additional expansion stage of the QBL-project described in our previous publications.

The RAE already supports LTI and configurable user tracking, so it will be used as a reference gaming platform for our prototypical implementations. The LMS of choice is Moodle, which comes with LTI-Consumer functionality by standard. Based on these software systems, a solution has to be developed and implemented which improves the interaction between the involved systems in a way that allows for attesting competences to students.

In section 2, LTI's limited possibilities regarding data transfer between embedded tools and LMS have been stated as the major problem of our idea, so the main challenge and research objective of our project is to find a capable, LTI-based solution for an extensive data exchange. In this context, the requirements on user tracking during gameplay sessions have been analyzed and appropriate modifications of the RAE's tracking mechanisms have been designed. Furthermore, an LTI-compliant concept for transferring user-specific, competence-based gameplay data from RAE to Moodle has been developed. On Moodle-side, the transferred data have to be mapped to QBLM-compliant competence structures. At the moment, our solution remains on a conceptual level, a prototypical implementation is not yet available.

# 8 Acknowledgements

This work has been partly funded by the following H2020 projects: RAGE (grant agreement No 644187), EDISON (grant agreement No. 675419) and BEACONIG (grant agreement No 687676). However, this paper reflects only the authors' views and the European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

# 9 References

- McFall, G., Neumann, L., Vickers, S. (2014): IMS Global Learning Tools Interoperability Implementation Guide Version 2.0 Final. [online] Available at: http://www.imsglobal.org/ specs/ltiv2p0/implementation-guide [Accessed 23 Jan. 2017].
- Moodle.org (2017): Moodle Open-source learning platform | Moodle.org. [online] Available at: https://moodle.org/ [Accessed 23 Jan. 2017].
- Then, M., Wallenborn, B., Ianniello, B., Duc Binh Vu, Fuchs, M., Hemmje, M. (2016a): A Competence-Based Course Authoring Concept for Learning Platforms with Legacy Assignment Tools. In: GSTF Journal on Computing (JoC), vol. 4, no. 4, 2016. [online] Available at: http://dl6.globalstf.org/index.php/joc/article/view/1546/1577 [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016]. doi: 10.5176/2251-3043\_4.4.341
- Then, M., Wallenborn, B., Ianniello, B., Duc Binh Vu, Fuchs, M., Hemmje, M. (2016b): Innovative Authoring Tools for Online-Courses with Assignments - Integrating Heterogeneous Tools of e-Learning Platforms into Hybrid Application Solutions. In: International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET), vol. 11, no. 02, p. 12, 2016. [online]

Available at: http://online-journals.org/index.php/i-jet/article/view/5108 [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016]. doi: 10.3991/ijet.v11i02.5108.

- Then, M., Wallenborn, B., Fuchs, M., Hemmje, M. (2016c): Towards a Domain Model for Integrating Competence Frameworks into Learning Platforms. In: Formamente - International Research Journal on Digital Future, issue 2016/3-4, 2016.
- E-ucm/rage-analytics (2017): [online] Available at: https://github.com/e-ucm/rageanalytics/wiki/Rage-analytics-Overview [Accessed 23 Jan. 2017].
- del Blanco, A., Marchiori, E. J., Torrente, J., Martínez-Ortiz, i., Fernández-Manjón, B. (2013): Using e-Learning standards in educational video games. In: Computer Standards & Interfaces 36 (1) pp. 178–187, DOI 10.1016/j.csi.2013.06.002, November 2013.
- Moreno-Ger, P., Burgos, D., Martínez-Ortiz, I., Sierra, J. L., Fernández-Manjón, B. (2008): Educational Game Design for Online Education. In: Computers in Human Behavior 24(6), 2530–2540.
- Burgos, D., Moreno-Ger, P., Sierra, J. L., Fernández-Manjón, B., Specht, M., Koper, R. (2008): Building Adaptive Game-Based Learning Resources: The Marriage of IMS Learning Design and <e-Adventure>. In: Simulation & Gaming 39, pp. 414-431.
- Freire, M., Serrano-Laguna, Á., Manero, B., Martínez-Ortiz, I., Moreno-Ger, P., Fernández-Manjón, B. (2016): Game Learning Analytics: Learning Analytics for Serious Games. In: Learning, Design, and Technology (pp. 1–29). Cham: Springer International Publishing. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17727-4\_21-1.
- Advanced Distributed Learning, (2006): SCORM 
   © 2004 3rd Edition Conformance Requirements (CR) Version 1.0 [online] Available at: http://www.adlnet.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/07/SCORM.2004.3ED.ConfReq.v1.0.pdf [Accessed 23 Jan. 2017].
- 12. Ehea.info (2016): European Higher Education Area website 2010-2020| EHEA. [online] Available at: http://www.ehea.info/ [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016].
- European Commission (2016a): European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS) - European Commission. [online] Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/education/ tools/ects\_en.htm. [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016].
- 14. Profiletool.ecompetences.eu (2016): European eCompetence Framework. [online] Available at: http://profiletool.ecompetences.eu/ [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016].
- 15. Ecompetences.eu (2016): European e-Competence Framework. [online] Available at: http://www.ecompetences.eu/ [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016].
- European Commission (2008): Explaining the European Qualifications Framework for Lifelong Learning. [online] Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/ploteus/sites/eaceqf/files/brochexp\_en.pdf [Accessed 23 Aug. 2016].
- European Commission (2016b): Learning Opportunities and Qualifications in Europe European Commission. [online] Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/ploteus/search/site?f[0]=im\_field\_entity\_type%3A97# [Accessed 16 Feb. 2016].
- Vogten, H., et. al. (2009): ID3.18 Architecture Design Document. [online] Dspace.ou.nl. Available at: http://dspace.ou.nl/handle/1820/1983 [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016].
- Tencompetence.org (2016a): TENCompetence | Building The European Network for Lifelong Competence Development. [online] Available at: http://tencompetenceproject.bolton.ac.uk/ [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016].
- Tencompetence.org (2016b): TENCompetence Overview | TENCompetence. [online] Available at: http://tencompetence-project.bolton.ac.uk/node/181/index.html [Accessed 27 Aug. 2016].
- 21. Koper, R. (2003): IMS Learning Design. [online] Dspace.ou.nl. Available at: http://dspace.ou.nl/handle/1820/72 [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016].

- Hammer, E. (2009): OAuth. [online] Available at: http://hueniverse.com/oauth/ [Accessed 23 Jan. 2017].
- Hammer-Lahav, E. (2010): RFC 5849 The OAuth 1.0 Protocol. [online] Tools.ietf.org. Available at: http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5849 [Accessed 23 Jan. 2017].
- Docs.moodle.org (2017a): External tool MoodleDocs. [online] Available at: https:// docs.moodle.org/32/en/External\_tool [Accessed 03 Apr. 2017].
- Imsglobal.org (2017): All Learning Tools Interoperability<sup>™</sup> (LTI) Tools | IMS Global Learning Consortium. [online] Available at: https://www.imsglobal.org/all-learning-toolsinteroperability-lti-tools [Accessed 23 Jan. 2017].
- RAGE (2016): RAGE Realising an Applied Gaming Eco-system. [online] Available at: http://rageproject.eu/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/RAGE\_pressrelease\_Education\_PUBLISHED.pdf [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016].
- OASIS Security Services Technical Committee (2008): Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) V2.0 Technical Overview. [online] Available at: http://docs.oasisopen.org/security/saml/Post2.0/sstc-saml-tech-overview-2.0.html [Accessed 26 Jan. 2017].
- 28. Elastic.co (2017): Elasticsearch: RESTful, Distributed Search & Analytics | Elastic. [online] Available at: https://www.elastic.co/products/elasticsearch [Access 23 Jan. 2017].
- Docs.moodle.org (2017b): Competencies MoodleDocs. [online] Available at: https:// docs.moodle.org/32/en/Competencies [Accessed 03 Apr. 2017].
- Then, M., Wallenborn, B., Ianniello, B., Hemmje, M. (2015): Innovative Authoring Tools for Online-Courses with Assignments. In: 7th International Conference on Computer Supported Education (CSEDU), 2015 - Doctoral Consortium, Lisbon, May 2015. [online] Available at: http://www.scitepress.org [Accessed 13 Mar. 2015].
- Online-uebungssystem.fernuni-hagen.de (2017a): Hilfe/Handbücher Online-Übungssystem. [online] Available at: https://online-uebungssystem.fernuni-hagen.de/hilfe/hilfe.html. [Accessed: 03 Apr. 2017].
- Online-uebungssystem.fernuni-hagen.de (2017b): Aufgabeneinbindung in Moodle Einbinden von Übungssystem-Aufgaben als LTI-Tool am Beispiel von Moodle. [online] Available at: https://online-uebungssystem.fernuni-hagen.de/download/LTI\_Moodle/ Uebungssystem\_LTI\_Moodle.html. [Accessed: 03 Apr. 2017].
- Epf.eclipse.org (2017): OpenUP. [online] Avail. at: http://epf.eclipse.org/wikis/openup/. [Accessed: 03 Apr. 2017].
- Kruchten, P. (2003): The Rational Unified Process: An Introduction, Third Edition, 1st ed. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2003.
- 35. Typo3.org (2016): TYPO3 The Enterprise Open Source CMS. [online] Available at: https://typo3.org [Accessed 22 Oct. 2015].
- Ftk.de (2016): FTK e.V. Forschungsinstitut fuer Telekommunikation und Kooperation. [online] Available at: http://www.ftk.de/ [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016].
- 37. Globit.com (2016): Ecosystem Portal | Globit. [online] Available at: http://www.globit.com/products-services/educational-portal/ [Accessed 06 Sep. 2016].