

# Improving the performance of non-invasive Brain-Computer interfaces between sessions utilizing Riemannian Procrustes Analysis: Comparison of Deep and Transfer Learning models.

Alexandros Christopoulos<sup>1,2</sup>, Jana Fehring<sup>2,3</sup>, Jaroslav Krc<sup>2,4</sup>, Fabien Lotte<sup>5</sup>, Stefanie Enriquez-Geppert<sup>2,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Groningen Netherlands, <sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Neuropsychology, University of Groningen, Netherlands, <sup>3</sup>University of Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Czech Republic, <sup>5</sup>Inria Bordeaux Sud-Ouest, Talence, France, <sup>6</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences of Cells and Systems, Section of Cognitive Neuropsychiatry, University Medical Center Groningen, Netherlands

Grote Kruisstraat 2/1, 9712 TS Groningen, Netherlands. E-mail: [s.enriquez.geppert@rug.nl](mailto:s.enriquez.geppert@rug.nl)

*Introduction:* Although brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) show great promise for assisting people in need, BCIs still remain within the laboratory setting due to low classification performance of first-time users and long calibration times required to train algorithms <sup>[1]</sup>. Brain activity is detected by extracting the relevant brain activity of the participant and then identifying and learning the relevant features by using a machine learning (ML) pipeline <sup>[2]</sup>. Over the years, deep learning (DL) methods <sup>[3]</sup> and transfer learning (TL) approaches across participants have been suggested to reduce or even eliminate the long calibration sessions usually required from a participant to control a BCI <sup>[4]</sup>. Our objective is to compare the performance of DL models and a ML classifier utilizing TL trained across participant's sessions with the purpose of reducing the need for calibration in offline analysis.

*Materials and Method:* 17 participants were recorded with EEG (32 electrodes) in two sessions. In each session visual feedback was provided to the user while they performed two mental tasks: motor imagery, mental subtractions, with 50 trials per task. Then in an offline analysis two DL models were compared against an ML classifier utilizing Riemannian Procrustes Analysis (RPA) <sup>[4]</sup> to generalize extracted features across sessions.

*Results:* Our results suggest that the combination of our best-performing classifier with RPA significantly increases the performance of the system between sessions, within participants.

*Discussion:* In this study we provide further evidence that matching the statistical distribution of the extracted features between-sessions for each participant could lead to increased performance of the ML pipeline.

*Significance:* The utilization of TL provides direct evidence on the reduction of the duration of calibration phases and consequently bring the technologies of BCIs a step closer to a more realistic setting and widespread usage.

## References

- [1] Vidaurre, C., & Blankertz, B. (2010). Towards a cure for BCI illiteracy. *Brain Topography*, 23(2), 194–198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-009-0121-6>
- [2] Chang S. Nam, Anton Nijholt, F. L. (eds. . (2018). *Brain-Computer Interfaces Handbook\_ Technological and Theoretical Advances*. *Appl. Phys. A*, 73(January), 788.
- [3] Schirrmester, R. T., Springenberg, J. T., Fiederer, L. D. J., Glasstetter, M., Eggenberger, K., Tangermann, M., Hutter, F., Burgard, W., & Ball, T. (2017). Deep learning with convolutional neural networks for EEG decoding and visualization. *Human Brain Mapping*, 38(11), 5391–5420. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23730>
- [4] Luiz, P., Rodrigues, C., Jutten, C., Congedo, M. (2019). Riemannian Procrustes Analysis : Transfer Learning for Brain-Computer Interfaces To cite this version : HAL Id : hal-01971856 Riemannian Procrustes Analysis : Transfer Learning for Brain-Computer Interfaces.