

# Speech decoding performance is influenced by perceiving auditory feedback or not: Implications for locked-in individuals

A. Schippers<sup>1\*</sup>, J. Berezutskaya<sup>1</sup>, Z.V. Freudenburg<sup>1</sup>, E.J. Aarnoutse<sup>1</sup>, M. Raemaekers<sup>1</sup>, M.J. Vansteensel<sup>1</sup>, N.F. Ramsey<sup>1,2</sup>

1. Dept. of Neurology and Neurosurgery, University Medical Center Utrecht Brain Center, The Netherlands

2. Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

\*Universiteitsweg 100, 3584 CG, Utrecht, The Netherlands. E-mail: a.schippers-5@umcutrecht.nl

**Introduction:** Recent developments in speech BCI technology have demonstrated its potential to restore communication in individuals who have lost the ability to produce intelligible speech by translating neural signal modulations associated with (attempted) speech into computerized speech [1, 2]. For the accurate production of speech sounds by able-bodied people, auditory feedback plays an important role, evidenced by the fact that speech output is directly affected when feedback is altered or absent. Importantly, individuals with locked-in syndrome (LIS) are unable to produce speech and articulator movements, and therefore lack auditory feedback. The question remains if the speech decoding performance levels reported recently for less severely impaired individuals can be attained by people with LIS as well. Here, we investigated if and how speech decoding performance differs in the presence and absence of auditory feedback.

**Material, Methods and Results:** High density electrocorticography (ECoG) grids were subdurally placed on the left SMC in three epilepsy patients. These participants completed two speech tasks, in which they were instructed to produce a sequence of seven syllables. In the first task they could hear themselves speak, while in the second their auditory feedback was masked by pink noise. After preprocessing the ECoG data and extracting the HFB power (65 – 95 Hz), electrodes with a significant increase in HFB power during speech compared to rest were identified by computing R2 values. Then, a support vector machine classifier was applied to the speech trials following a nested cross-validation approach to determine decodability of the brain signals in both tasks. A leave-one-group-out approach was applied, where on every fold one instance of each of the seven syllables was left out as test data. Decoding accuracies were compared between tasks.

Results showed that for both tasks, all participants displayed widespread SMC engagement during speech production. Decoding accuracies for all participants were well above chance, ranging between 36% - 62% (chance level 11%). There was a consistent difference in decoding accuracy between the two tasks, where each participant displayed significantly lower performance in the task with masked feedback compared to the task in which auditory feedback could be perceived.

**Conclusion:** The perception of auditory feedback during speech production influences speech decoding performance. This finding stresses the need to validate speech BCI performance with participants who are unable to produce any speech movements and sounds.

**Acknowledgments and Disclosures:** The authors thank the Utrecht-BCI team for their contributions to this study. This study is supported by the Dutch Science Foundation (SGW-406-18-GO-086), Dutch Technology Foundation (UGT7685), European Research Council (ERC-Advanced ‘iConnect’ project, grant ADV 320708), the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders (U01DC016686) and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (UH3NS114439) of the National Institutes of Health, and EU EIC-101070939 project INTRECOM. The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to be disclosed.

## References:

- [1] Card NS, Wairagkar M, Iacobacci C, Hou X, Singer-Clark T, Willett FR, Kunz EM, Fan C, Vahdati Nia M, Deo DR, Srinivasan A, Choi EY, Glasser MF, Hochberg LR, Henderson JM, Shahlaie K, Stavisky SD, Brandman DM. (2024). An Accurate and Rapidly Calibrating Speech Neuroprosthesis. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 391(7), 609-618.
- [2] Moses DA, Metzger SL, Liu JR, Anumanchipalli GK, Makin JG, Sun PF, Chartier J, Dougherty ME, Liu PM, Abrams GM, Tu-Chan A, Ganguly K, Chang EF (2021). Neuroprosthesis for Decoding Speech in a Paralyzed Person with Anarthria. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 385(3), 217-227.